Supplementary Material

Estimating the risks of breast cancer radiotherapy: Evidence from modern radiation doses to the lungs and heart and from previous randomised trials

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2016.72.0722 Journal of Clinical Oncology - published online before print March 20, 2017

Writing committee for the Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG)

Supplementary Material Contents

Materials and met	hods	Page
Methods S1	Details of statistical and dosimetry methods	
Table S1	Estimated average mean doses to organs at risk for women in EBCTCG trials	<u>3</u> 9 <u>11</u>
Table S2	Classification of disease categories in ICD-10 groupings	<u>11</u>
Non-breast cancer	mortality without recurrence	
Table S3	Trial details and references	<u>12</u>
Figure S1	Absolute effect of radiotherapy	<u>19</u>
Figure S2	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups (years 10+)	20 21 22
Figure S3	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups (all years)	<u>21</u>
Table S4	Specific causes of death	<u>22</u>
Contralateral brea	st cancer incidence	
Table S5	Rates of various types of breast cancer event in women allocated no radiotherapy, by nodal status	<u>23</u>
Figure S4	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups	24 25 26
Table S6	Relative risks in SEER and in EBCTCG, both by time since irradiation	<u>25</u>
Figure S5	Absolute effect of radiotherapy (excluding trials of orthovoltage radiotherapy)	<u>26</u>
Lung cancer incide	nce	
Table S7	Rates in women allocated no radiotherapy, by nodal status	<u>27</u>
Figure S6	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups (years 10+)	<u>28</u>
Figure S7	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups (all years)	<u>29</u>
Table S8	Lung cancer rate ratios in SEER	27 28 29 <u>30</u> 31
Figure S8	Estimates of ERR/Gy for lung cancer in epidemiological studies	<u>31</u>
Lung cancer morta	lity	
Figure S9	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups	<u>32</u>
Table S9	Estimated effects of 2010s radiotherapy regimens by age and smoking history	<u>33</u>
Second cancer inci	dence excluding contralateral breast and lung cancer	
Figure S10	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups	<u>34</u>
Heart disease mor	tality	
Figure S11	Absolute effect of radiotherapy in women aged <60 years at entry	<u>35</u>
Figure S12	Absolute effect of radiotherapy in women aged 60+ years at entry	<u>36</u>
Figure S13	Histogram of estimated mean heart doses for women in the trials	<u>37</u>
Figure S14a	Proportional effects of radiotherapy in various subgroups	<u>38</u>
Figure S14b	Proportional effect of radiotherapy by different dose measures to various parts of the heart	<u>39</u>
Figure S14c	Relationship between heart death rate and mean heart dose in equivalent 2 Gy fractions	<u>40</u>
Estimated risks of	lung cancer and IHD mortality for a woman irradiated at age 60 years	
Figure S15	Estimated effects of 2010s radiotherapy regimens	<u>41</u>
2010s lung and he	art doses	
Methods S2	Systematic review of heart and lung doses from breast cancer radiotherapy, 2010-2015	<u>42</u>
	List of breast cancer dosimetry publications 2010-15	44
EBCTCG collaborat	ors, alphabetically by institution and name	
Figure S16	Collaborators	<u>59</u>
Main text tables a	nd figures	64
	······	<u>.</u>

Methods S1. Details of statistical and dosimetry methods

(a) Statistical methods

Coding of cause of death and second cancer incidence

For each woman who had died, trialists supplied us with the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) for underlying cause of death or a text description of the underlying cause of death. These were reviewed by an oncology consultant and coded into categories of disease types (see Table S2). Likewise trialists supplied ICD or text descriptions of any incident malignancies occurring after randomisation.

Cancer deaths from unknown primaries were included with breast cancer deaths. When no recurrence was reported before breast cancer death, distant recurrence was assumed to have just preceded it. Deaths from an unknown cause without recorded recurrence were taken as non-breast-cancer deaths, as most occurred many years after trial entry, when non-breast-cancer mortality predominated.

If a trial did not supply cause-specific mortality then it was dropped from analyses of cause-specific mortality. If a trial did not follow-up for malignant events it was dropped from incident cancer analyses. Thus the total womanyears vary from analysis to analysis.

Women assigned to radiotherapy have slightly longer recurrence-free survival and therefore are at risk of death without recurrence (or second cancer) for slightly longer. To prevent delayed recurrences causing bias, the log-rank analysis (see below) covered only the period before recurrence i.e., data were censored at the first recurrence.

Methodology for logrank analyses

Let 0 denote the observed number of events in the radiotherapy allocated group, and let E denote the number that would have been expected at the period specific events rates in the radiotherapy and no radiotherapy groups combined, and let V denote the variance of the logrank statistic (O-E). A positive value for (O-E) then suggests hazard, with the number of deaths caused by radiotherapy being approximately $2^{(O-E)}$. The ratio (O-E)/V is the "one-step" estimate of the natural log of the event rate ratios (RR, radiotherapy versus no radiotherapy). Hence, the one-step estimate of RR is exp((O-E)/V) with 95% confidence limits RR/k, RR*k, where k=exp($1.96/\sqrt{V}$).

Statistical analyses were stratified. Logrank statistics were calculated for each combination of trial, individual followup year, age at entry (<40, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+) and pathological nodal status (0, 1-3, or 4+ positive nodes, clinical negative, or clinical positive/unknown) and then summed. In multiarm trials, for balance, control groups were counted twice.

For the main endpoints, forest plots show proportional risk reductions. Detailed subgroup analyses explore whether the reductions depend on patient or tumour characteristics. Acturial curves illustrate absolute risks in various subgroups.

Statistically reliable subgroup analyses require the overall χ^2 1 for the RR (radiotherapy vs control) in all subgroups to be large (eg, at least 25, but preferably 50, or even 100). The overall χ^2 1 gets partitioned between the subgroups in approximate proportion to numbers of events. So if the χ^2 1 in a subgroup was only about 10, chance could well make it non-significant or null.

Logrank analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software, release 13.1 (StataCorp) and R release 2.13.2.

Tests for trend and heterogeneity

Where the effect of radiotherapy was evaluated in subgroups (e.g. in three age groups), then the following procedures were used to test for a trend where there was a natural ordering (e.g. from younger to older), or for heterogeneity where no natural ordering exists.

Test for trend

The subgroups were numbered in their natural order (e.g. 1 = age < 50, 2 = age 50-59, 3 = age 60+). O-E and its variance, V, were calculated separately for the treatment effect in each subgroup (e.g. O_1 -E₁ and V₁ for subgroup 1). Let k denote the number of subgroups with non-zero variance. Next, the following values were calculated:

$$\begin{split} A &= V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \\ B &= 1.V_1 + 2.V_2 + 3.V_3 \\ C &= 1.1.V_1 + 2.2.V_2 + 3.3.V_3 \\ D &= (O_1 - E_1) + (O_2 - E_2) + (O_3 - E_3) \\ E &= 1.(O_1 - E_1) + 2.(O_2 - E_2) + 3.(O_3 - E_3) \\ F &= (O_1 - E_1)^2 / V_1 + (O_2 - E_2)^2 / V_2 + (O_3 - E_3)^2 / V_3 \end{split}$$

A test for a trend between the rate ratios produced by treatment in these different subgroups may be based on calculation of the quantity (E-DB/A). If there is no real heterogeneity between the rate ratios, then it can be shown that this quantity will differ only randomly from zero, and that its standard error (SE) will be approximately V(C-BB/A). Values more extreme than ±1.96 SE would therefore correspond approximately to P<0.05. Provided the effect is not large, the statistical properties of O-E and V imply that this trend test is asymptotically efficient at detecting a steady multiplicative trend in the rate ratios.

Test for heterogeneity

A test for heterogeneity was obtained by calculating the quantity (F-DD/A). If there is no real heterogeneity between the rate ratios in the k different subgroups being considered, then this quantity will be distributed approximately as a standard chi-squared distribution with k-1 degrees of freedom.

Test for "interaction" between the effects of radiotherapy in just two different subgroups In this case, the tests for trend and for heterogeneity (with k=2) yield identical significance levels.

Radiotherapy modality and technique

For proportional risks of various radiotherapy modalities, women were grouped according to whether their radiotherapy involved cobalt-60, megavoltage X-rays, electrons or orthovoltage X-rays. For the few trials where women were treated with more than one modality, that with the highest radiation scatter dose was assigned if it was not possible to tell which modality was given to a particular woman. This may have the effect of biasing towards the null.

Methodology for estimating the cardiac dose-response relationship

Each woman in each trial was assigned a dose based on the radiotherapy technique used in the trial and on the laterality of her breast cancer. If laterality information was not available for a particular woman then she was assigned the average of the doses for irradiation of right-sided and left-sided breast cancer in the trial she was randomised into.

Stratification was as for logrank analyses. It was assumed there was zero risk at zero dose. The rate of heart disease mortality was modelled as $b_s(1+\beta_1*d)$, where b_s was the stratum-specific rate of heart disease mortality in the absence of radiotherapy, d was the dose (or EQD2) of cardiac radiation (in Gy), and β_1 was the percentage increase in the rate of heart disease mortality per gray. The form $1+\beta_1*d$ was chosen for the dose–response relationship

because a wide variety of functions are approximately linear for small values of d. The adequacy of $1+\beta_1*d$ for summarizing the dose–response relationship was examined by carrying out analyses based on categories of radiation dose.

Further models including terms for dose squared $(+\beta_2*d*d)$ and a decline in risk at high doses $(*exp(-\beta_2*d))$ were used to investigate any departure from linearity. Sensitivity analyses excluded the effect of the few trials with inadequate information on dosage, and excluded patients with breast cancer that was bilateral or of unknown laterality. Mean doses to the three separate coronary arteries were assessed to check for any improvement of risk estimation (Figures S15-S16). No significant departure from linearity was found and the addition of coronary artery doses to the risk model did not improve estimation.

Significance tests were two-sided, and both significance tests and confidence intervals were based on the likelihood ratio. Calculations were performed with the use of EpiWin, release 1.8 (Hirosoft International).

Methodology for calculating estimated risks of death from lung cancer and ischaemic heart disease

Results in figure 3 show the effects of radiotherapy on estimated risks of death from lung cancer and ischaemic heart disease for a woman irradiated at age 50 years. These were estimated by applying the proportional excess per Gy for lung cancer (figure 1 and main text), to representative population-based lung cancer death rates in smokers and non-smokers. The derived dose-response relationship for heart disease death (figure 3) was similarly applied to representative populations.

Lung cancer

Background rates of death from lung cancer for non-smokers and smokers were assumed to be equal to those of non-smokers in the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II [Thun 2013] and smokers in the UK Million Women Study [Pirie 2013] respectively. Estimated risks were calculated for a typical woman who was 50 years old at the time of her breast-cancer diagnosis who received either no radiotherapy or radiotherapy with a mean lung dose of 5 Gy. The excess rate ratio for lung cancer from radiotherapy, 0.11 per Gy, was assumed to start at age 60.

Ischaemic heart disease

Background rates of death from ischaemic heart disease (IHD) were assumed to be equal to those (mostly 2010) in Western Europe (represented by the original 15 countries of the European Union, EU-15). For non-smokers IHD rates were taken to be 2/3rds that of the EU-15 population and for smokers 3 times. Risk of IHD death in women with IHD prior to radiotherapy was assumed to be similar to that of a smoker. Estimated risks were calculated for a typical woman who was 50 years old at the time of her breast-cancer diagnosis who received either no radiotherapy or radiotherapy with a mean heart dose of 4 Gy. The excess rate ratio for heart disease from radiotherapy, 0.041 per Gy, was assumed to start at age 50.

References

Thun MJ, Carter BD, Feskanich D, et al. 50-year trends in smoking-related mortality in the United States. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 351-64.

Pirie K, Peto R, Reeves GK, Green J, Beral V. The 21st century hazards of smoking and benefits of stopping: a prospective study of one million women in the UK. Lancet 2013; 381: 133-41.

(b) Radiation dosimetry methods (methods and the main regimens are summarised in Taylor 2007)

Information on regimens

Radiotherapy details of regimens used in each of the 75 trials were sought from a variety of sources including trial publications, protocols and correspondence with trialists. Further general details of breast cancer radiotherapy planning and delivery worldwide during past decades were obtained to enable authentic replication [Taylor 2007]. The items available on the radiotherapy given in each trial were documented. The following four items were judged to be needed for accurate reconstruction of each regimen: (1) Targets or regions irradiated (2) Radiation dose delivered to each region (3) Radiotherapy technique used and (4) Beam energies applied. Further items e.g. patient treatment position were judged to be useful, but not essential for reconstruction. Trials were categorised according to the information available.

For 48/75 trials, information was available on all of items 1-4. The other 27/75 trials did not specify one or more of these four items. Organ doses estimated for these trials will have inherently higher uncertainties. Analyses just of the 48 trials with adequate information on regimens yielded similar estimates of the excess RR per Gy heart dose to analyses that included all 75 trials.

Contouring of cardiac structures, lungs and oesophagus

The heart and coronary arteries were contoured by a radiation oncologist and reviewed by a radiologist. The cranial limit of the heart included the right atrium and excluded the pulmonary trunk, ascending aorta and superior vena cava. The lowest contour of the heart was the caudal myocardial border. The scans were not contrast-enhanced. Therefore, on some images, the coronary arteries were not visible and their location was inferred using visible, reliable landmarks: the anterior interventricular, left atrioventricular and right atrioventricular grooves. Due to the short length of the left main coronary artery, its contour was included with that of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery.

The ipsilateral and contralateral lungs were contoured using an automatic contouring tool, and modified manually where appropriate. The oesophagus was visible, and contoured manually on each CT slice.

Regimen reconstruction

A technique based upon virtual simulation and computed tomography (CT) 3-dimensional treatment planning was used to reconstruct radiotherapy regimens. Dose distributions were calculated using treatment planning systems Helax TMS version 6.1B, Nucletron Ltd, Veenendaal, the Netherlands and Varian Eclipse[™] version 10.0.39. Field borders, beam arrangements and machine parameters for each radiotherapy regimen were defined using virtual simulation with emphasis on the surface reconstruction function.

The treatment parameters and patient and organ at risk outlines were exported to the computerised treatment planning system and dose distributions were calculated. Dose calculation algorithms were: the collapsed cone superposition convolution algorithm and the analytical anisotropic algorithm for photon plans, Monte Carlo for electron plans and pencil beam for cobalt plans.

For each regimen, dose-volume histograms (DVHs) were generated for the heart and for the LAD and right and circumflex coronary arteries and for the ipsilateral lung, contralateral lung and oesophagus. From these, estimates of mean and maximum dose and percentage volume irradiated to different doses were obtained.

Dose distributions for several 250 kV regimens and iridium wire implants were also derived. This involved generating scaled hard-copies of appropriate CT slices on which isodose distributions for kilovoltage or iridium wire implants were superimposed. Manual planning techniques incorporating lung correction were employed to generate dose distributions. The physical density of lung was taken to be 0.25 g/cm⁻³. The proportion of each structure included

within each isodose line was calculated manually and used to plot DVHs. These were typically based on three CT slices per radiotherapy plan.

Heart and coronary artery doses were calculated for women in 45 trials with heart disease deaths. Lung doses were calculated for women in 29 with lung cancers in the second decade after radiotherapy. Oesophagus doses were calculated for women in 19 trials with oesophageal cancers.

Validation of the 'representative patient'

Each regimen was reconstructed on a 'representative patient'. To ensure that the doses based on this patient were representative of those received by patients with a range of different anatomies, four commonly used regimens: left and right tangential pair irradiation and left and right direct IMC irradiation were reconstructed on the 'representative patient' and on four other patients taken at random from the CT planning database, for comparison. These four regimens were chosen since they represented the most commonly used types of breast cancer irradiation in the Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) trials.

Heart dose for the 'representative patient' was near the middle of the dose range of the 5 random patients for leftand right-sided tangential pair and direct IMC irradiation, thus validating the use of her CT scans for the reconstruction of radiotherapy regimens used in the trials. A further study of inter-patient variability in heart dose using four similar regimens reconstructed on 20 patients is reported in Taylor 2007.

Trials in which women received different regimens

In a few trials, the radiotherapy regimens received by an individual woman depended on nodal status or the position of the tumour in the breast. In some other trials, regimens varied according to the availability of certain beam modalities and energies in different radiotherapy centres. For some of these trials, the proportions of women who received each regimen were recorded, or could be estimated. For example in the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) 82 b and c trials, 8% of irradiated women were recorded as receiving orthovoltage irradiation, and the rest received megavoltage irradiation [Nielsen 2005]. These proportions were used to calculate average organ doses for women who received left- and right-sided radiotherapy in each trial. In other trials these proportions were not recorded and equal proportions of women were assumed to have received each radiotherapy regimen. For example, in the South Sweden breast cancer trial [Tennvall-Nittby 1993] the direct internal mammary field was delivered using either electron, cobalt-60 or 6 MV irradiation. The proportions of women received electron internal mammary irradiation, a third received cobalt-60, and the remaining third received 6 MV irradiation.

Calculation of equivalent dose in 2 Gy fractions (EQD2) in the EBCTCG trials

EQD2 doses were calculated using the linear-quadratic model from dose volume histograms (DVH) using an alphabeta ratio of 2 Gy [Schultz-Hector 2007].

EQD2 = nd $(d + \alpha/\beta)$ (2 + α/β) n = number of fractions d = dose per fraction

 α/β = alpha-beta ratio

For orthovoltage radiotherapy, a correction factor of 1.1 was used to account for the enhanced biological effectiveness of low energy irradiation [Fuller 1992].

References

Fuller SA, Haybittle JL, Smith REA, et al. Cardiac doses in post-operative breast irradiation. Radioth Oncol 1992;25:19-24.

Host H, Brennhovd IO, Loed M. Postoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer – long-term results from the Oslo study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1986;12:727-732.

Nielsen HM, Overgaard J, Grau C et al. Audit of the radiotherapy in the DBCG 82 b&c trials – A validation study of the 1538 patients randomised to postmastectomy radiotherapy. Radioth Oncol 2005;76:285-292.

Schultz-Hector S, Trott K-R. Radiation-induced cardiovascular diseases: is the epidemiologic evidence compatible with the radiobiologic data? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007;67:10-18.

Taylor CW, Nisbet A, McGale P, Darby SC. Cardiac exposures in breast cancer radiotherapy: 1950s-1990s. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007; **69**: 1484-95.

Tennvall-Nittby L, Tengrup I, Landberg T. The total incidence of loco-regional recurrence in a randomised trial of breast cancer TNM stage II. Acta Oncologica 1993;32:641-646.

Organ at risk*	Number of trials	Number of women	Average dose (Gy)	IQR (Gy)
Heart	45	29,664	6.3	2.2-8.5
LAD coronary artery	45	29,664	13.5	1.4-21.9
Right coronary artery	45	29,664	7.7	2.2-11.8
Circumflex coronary artery	45	29,664	4.1	1.0-4.1
Both lungs combined	29	5248	9.6	3.4-11.1
Ipsilateral lung	29	5248	17.6	6.6-20.7
Contralateral lung	29	5248	1.6	0.3-2.0
Oesophagus	19	8279	8.4	1.2-10.7
Oesophagus (IMC trials)	16	7272	9.5	3.5-10.5
Oesophagus (non-IMC trials)	3	1007	0.8	0.5-1.0

1a) Average doses to heart, coronary arteries, lung, and oesophagus

*Heart and coronary artery doses were calculated for women in 45 trials with heart disease deaths. Lung doses were calculated for women in 29 trials with lung cancers in the second decade after radiotherapy. Oesophagus doses were calculated for women in 19 trials with oesophageal cancers.

1b) Average doses to the heart by decade trial started and breast cancer laterality in 45 EBCTCG trials with heart disease deaths

Decade trial	Average mean heart dose (Gy)								
started	Right-sided	Left-sided	Unknown laterality						
1950s			9.6						
1960s	7.7	13.7	5.7						
1970s	4.9	11.7	9.4						
1980s	1.8	5.3	3.7						
1990s	1.5	4.9	3.1						

1c) Average doses to lung by decade trial started in 29 EBCTCG trials with lung cancer events in the second decade after radiotherapy

Decade trial —	Average mean lung dose (Gy)							
started	Both lungs	Ipsilateral lung	Contralateral lung					
1950s	12.0	23.0	1.0					
1960s	9.5	17.3	1.6					
1970s	9.3	16.8	1.7					
1980s	10.2	18.8	1.6					
1990s	3.2	6.0	0.2					

Table S1 contd. Estimated average mean doses to organs at risk for women in Early Breast Cancer Trialists'Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) trials.

Decade trial	Average mean oesophageal dose (Gy)					
started	Mean dose	IQR				
1960s	9.7	1.8-13.4				
1970s	5.6	3.9-7.2				
1980s	12.3	0.8-21.1				
1990s	0.4	0.4-0.4				

1d) Average doses to oesophagus by decade trial started in 19 EBCTCG trials with oesophagus cancer events

1e) Correlations between estimated average doses to cardiac organs at risk in the trials in the present study.

i) Correlation between average doses

	Heart	LAD	Right CA	Circumflex
Heart	1.00			
LAD	0.76	1.00		
Right CA	0.49	-0.02	1.00	
Circumflex	0.89	0.80	0.23	1.00

ii) Correlation between average mean doses and average mean EQD2 doses

		Mean dose					
		Heart	LAD	Right CA	Circumflex		
	Heart	0.99					
Mean	LAD		0.98				
EQD2	Right CA			0.99			
	Circumflex				0.96		

Abbreviations: LAD=Left anterior descending, CA=coronary artery, EQD2=Equivalent dose in 2 gray fractions (calculated using an α/β of 2 Gy [Schultz-Hector 2007])

Reference

Schultz-Hector S, Trott KR. Radiation-induced cardiovascular diseases: is the epidemiologic evidence compatible with the radiobiologic data? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007; **67**: 10-18.

Table S2. Groupings of disease categories by International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related HealthProblems 10th Revision (ICD-10)

Disease category	ICD-10
Infectious/parasitic (excluding viral hepatitis)	A00-A99, B00-B99. Excluding: B15-B19
Hepatic disease	В15-В19, К70-К77
Oesophageal cancer	C15
Gastric cancer	C16
Colorectal cancer	C18-C21
Primary liver cancer	C22. Excluding: C22.9
Liver cancer, unspecified*	C22.9, D37.6
Bile duct / gallbladder cancer	C23, C24
Pancreatic cancer	C25
Lung cancer	C33-C34
Bone cancer	C40, C41
Melanoma	C43
Pleura	C45.0
Soft tissue cancer	C48, C49
Breast cancer or its metastases	C50
Cervical cancer	C53
Endometrial cancer	C54
Uterine cancer, part unspecified	C55
Ovarian cancer	C56-C57
Renal cancer	C64
Bladder cancer	C67
Brain / CNS cancer	C70-C72
Thyroid cancer	C73
Secondary (ie metastatic disease), primary unspecified*	C76-C80. Excluding: C80.9
Unknown second primary, non-breast	C80.9
Lymphoma	C85, C90
Leukaemia	C88, C91, C92
Other second primary of specified site (apart from breast	C00-C75, C81-C84, C86-C89, C93-C97. Excluding:
cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer)	C15-C16 , C18-C25, C33-C34, C40-C41, C43, C45.0,
	C48, C49, C50, C54, C55, C56-C57, C53, C64, C67,
	C70-C73
Valve disease (including cases with mention of ischaemic	105-109, 133-139
heart disease or heart failure)	
Ischaemic heart disease	120-125
Heart failure (without mention of ischaemic heart disease or	150
myocardial infarction)	
Arrhythmia	144-149
Deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism	126 , 180, 182, 088.2
Cerebrovascular	160-169
Other circulatory (including 'Acute cardiac' and 'Chronic	100-199, R00-R02, R57, R96. Excluding: 105-109, 120-
cardiac')	126, 133-139, 144-149, 150, 160-169, 180, 182
Non-pneumonia respiratory	J00-J99, R04-R09. Excluding: J12-J18 , J41-J44
Pneumonia	J12-J18
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	J41-J44
External cause	S00-Y98
Other specified disease	All other ICD codes

* These are grouped with breast cancer or its metastases.

Table S3. Trials included, regions irradiated, median age at entry, distribution of woman-years since entry and, in each trial arm (RT or No RT), numbers of deaths without breast cancer recurrence and woman-years. AF=axilla and/or

supraclavicular fossa, B=breast, BCS=breast conserving surgery, CW=chest wall, IMC=internal mammary chain, RT=radiotherapy, S= boost to scar. Parentheses indicate only some women received radiotherapy to this region. * For balance, control patients in 3-way trials or trial strata count twice in totals of deaths/women. † Same polychemotherapy and/or tamoxifen in each trial arm.

Trial reference, type, year started,			type, year started, Regions Me		Woman-years since entry			Deaths without recurrence /woman-years		
and nar	me		irradiated	age	<10	10-19	20+	RT	No RT	
Breast	conserva	tion, generally with axilla	ry dissection: RT v	s not						
1	1976	NSABP B-06	+B	51	8025	4649	1064	115/7965	67/5773	
2	1981	Uppsala-Örebro	В	62	2865	1332	19	48/2220	51/1996	
3	1982	St George's London	†B+AF	51	2786	1099	79	15/2194	8/1770	
4	1984	Ontario COG	B+S	56	5633	667	0	33/3544	27/2756	
5	1985	Scottish	BS+(AF)+IMC	57	4531	1979	0	54/3511	30/2999	
6	1985	West Midlands UK	B+S+AF+IMC	59	4957	2164	25	81/3976	56/3170	
7	1986	CRC, UK	Various	58	3616	570	0	37/2213	42/1973	
8	1987	INT Milan 3	†B+S	52	4429	2146	0	29/3631	28/2944	
9	1989	NSABP B-21	†B+S	59	5394	739	0	39/3163	28/2970	
10	1990	Tampere Finland	В	56	2181	484	0	7/1505	14/1160	
11	1991	GBSG V Germany	B+S	60	1766	0	0	6/976	6/790	
12,13	1991	SweBCG 91-RT	В	60	9840	2064	0	94/6214	80/5690	
14,15	1992	PMH Toronto	†B+S	68	5085	114	0	25/2702	21/2497	
16	1992	BASO II	+Various	57	2999	53	0	4/1567	8/1485	
17	1994	CALGB 9343	†B	76	4006	9	0	78/2042	68/1973	
18	1996	Austrian BCSG VIIIa	†B+(S)	65	4598	14	0	19/2356	22/2256	
19	1999	PRIME I	+B	71	1024	0	0	10/505	10/519	
20	2000	RT55-75 Maugeri Italy	†B+S	65	3045	0	0	7/1531	3/1514	

Page 1 of 7

Table S3 contd. Trials included, regions irradiated, median age at entry, distribution of woman-years since entry and, in each trial arm (RT or No RT), numbers of deaths without breast cancer recurrence and woman-years.

AF=axilla and/or supraclavicular fossa, B=breast, BCS=breast conserving surgery, CW=chest wall, IMC=internal mammary chain, RT=radiotherapy, S= boost to scar. Parentheses indicate only some women received radiotherapy to this region. * For balance, control patients in 3-way trials or trial strata count twice in totals of deaths/women. † Same polychemotherapy and/or tamoxifen in each trial arm.

Trial reference, type, year started, and name						man-yea		Deaths without recurrence		
			Regions	Median		nce entry			an-years	
			irradiated	age	<10	10-19	20+	RT	No RT	
Mastec	tomv wi	th axillary dissection: RT v	vs not							
21	1961	NSABP B-03*	AF+IMC	58	5318	1821	193	118/3669	136/3663	
22	1962	Berlin-Buch ABC	CW+AF+IMC	59	1365	380	2	38/831	26/916	
23	1964	Oslo X-ray	CW+AF	52	4226	2756	2459	124/4850	110/4591	
23	1964	Oslo Co-60	AF+IMC	54	4398	2920	1811	140/4292	108/4837	
24	1969	Heidelberg XRT	AF+IMC	60	797	314	0	33/624	17/487	
25,26	1971	Stockholm A*	CW+AF+(IMC)	55	8565	5334	3393	195/9633	156/7659	
-	1971	SASIB	(CW)+AF+IMC	52	1519	124	75	10/914	3/804	
27,28	1973	Mayo 70-56-32	†(CW)+AF+IMC	55	1339	679	310	20/1195	15/1133	
29	1973	INT Milan 1	AF+IMC	50	131	88	92	2/183	1/128	
30	1974	DFCI Boston	†CW+AF	51	1083	158	0	11/610	2/631	
31	1974	Piedmont OA	†(CW)+AF+IMC	53	1726	231	0	11/966	8/991	
32	1976	SECSG 1	†CW+AF+IMC	52	1026	78	0	6/570	4/534	
33	1976	Glasgow	[†] CW+AF+IMC	54	1166	377	2	14/838	14/707	
34	1977	MD Ander. 7730B	[†] CW+S+AF+IMC	50	569	231	0	1/299	0/501	
35	1978	S Sweden II:1	[†] CW+AF+IMC	58	5103	2082	386	87/3723	74/3848	
-	1978	Toronto-Edmont.	†AF+IMC	43	310	122	1	1/243	0/190	
36	1978	BCCA Vancouver	[†] CW+AF+IMC	44	2030	1135	162	10/1933	4/1394	
37	1978	Düsseldorf U.	[†] CW+AF+IMC	47	291	0	0	3/95	10/196	
38	1979	Coimbra	[†] CW+AF+IMC	53	628	143	0	10/422	6/349	
39	1979	Metaxas Athens	[†] CW+AF+IMC	54	398	101	3	1/250	0/252	
40	1980	Helsinki	[†] CW+AF+IMC	52	609	103	0	2/315	5/397	
41	1980	NSABC Israel	[†] CW+AF+IMC	52	799	174	0	2/477	0/496	
42,43	1982	DBCG 82b premenop.	[†] CW+AF+IMC	46	10451	5081	158	52/8758	32/6932	
43,44	1982	DBCG 82c postmenop.	[†] CW+AF+IMC	62	7819	2812	80	98/5863	96/4848	
45	1982	ECOG EST3181	[†] CW+AF+IMC	52	1724	714	4	16/1225	21/1217	
46	1984	GBSG 03 Germany	[†] CW+AF+IMC	55	1190	109	0	11/676	4/623	
Mastec	tomy wi	th axillary sampling: RT vs	s not							
47	1973	Southampton UK	CW+AF+IMC	54	886	517	203	18/907	10/699	
48	1974	Edinburgh I	CW+AF+IMC	53	2433	1525	979	62/2573	45/2364	
49	1985	Nottingham	CW+AF	60	295	24	0	1/192	2/127	
7	1986	CRC, UK	Various	58	449	53	0	4/253	7/249	
Mastec	tomy alo	one: RT vs not								
50	1970	Manchester RBS1	CW+AF+IMC	54	4413	2316	215	96/3849	71/3095	
51,52	1970	Kings/Cambridge	CW+AF+IMC	54	16970	6892	1671	285/13167	233/12366	
53	1971	NSABP B-04	CW+AF+IMC	55	4417	2187	792	110/3968	85/3428	
54	1978	Scottish D	†CW+AF+IMC	59	619	337	47	8/486	10/517	
55	1985	Tokyo CIH PS	†AF+IMC	48	495	29	0	2/264	2/260	
55	1988	, Tokyo CIH N2	†AF+IMC	50	383	6	0	1/200	1/189	

Table S3 contd. Trials included, regions irradiated, median age at entry, distribution of woman-years since entry and, in each trial arm (RT or No RT), numbers of deaths without breast cancer recurrence and woman-years.

AF=axilla and/or supraclavicular fossa, B=breast, BCS=breast conserving surgery, CW=chest wall, IMC=internal mammary chain, RT=radiotherapy, S= boost to scar. Parentheses indicate only some women received radiotherapy to this region. * For balance, control patients in 3-way trials or trial strata count twice in totals of deaths/women. † Same polychemotherapy and/or tamoxifen in each trial arm.

			Pegions Median			man-yea		Deaths without recurrence		
Trial re	ference,	type, year started,	Regions	Median		nce entry			nan-years	
and name			irradiated	age	<10	10-19	20+	RT	No RT	
RT vs n	odal surg	ery								
56	1951	Copenhagen BCT	CW+AF+IMC	58	3048	1555	1404	102/2969	103/3038	
57	1964	SE Scotland	CW+AF+IMC	55	3734	2197	1697	96/3382	107/4246	
50	1970	Manchester RBS2	CW+AF+IMC	57	1449	604	62	30/1116	26/999	
53	1971	NSABP B-04	CW+AF+IMC	55	7721	3506	1214	177/6061	174/6380	
-	1972	WSSA Glasgow	CW+AF	55	1126	5	0	12/530	9/601	
-	1972	CMN Mexico	Unknown	48	1599	227	0	8/957	3/869	
-	1976	Berlin-Buch	IMC Peripheral	52	743	50	0	5/434	5/359	
58	1980	Edinburgh	CW+AF+IMC	57	1033	453	0	13/838	10/648	
59	1982	Ins.Curie Paris	⁺ B+(AF)+(IMC)	51	5288	2781	153	25/4123	26/4099	
BCS ala		/s mastectomy + axillary	dissoction							
	1961	Guy's London	+B+AF+IMC	58	4012	2073	1031	82/2928	112/4188	
60										
60	1901	Guy S London		50	4012	2075	1051	02/2520	112/4100	
		tectomy, both with axil		30	4012	2075	1051	0272320	112/4100	
				52	4012	896	222	11/1319	15/1210	
BCS + R	T vs mas	tectomy, both with axil	lary dissection							
BCS + R 61	T vs mas 1972	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC	52	1411	896	222	11/1319	15/1210	
BCS + R 61 29,62	T vs mas 1972 1973	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC	52 50	1411 5795	896 3991	222 2653	11/1319 78/6187	15/1210 88/6252	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC B	52 50 51	1411 5795 9387	896 3991 5587	222 2653 1217	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC B †B+(AF)+(IMC)	52 50 51 50	1411 5795 9387 1781	896 3991 5587 1030	222 2653 1217 83	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64 65	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979 1980	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda EORTC 10801	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC B †B+(AF)+(IMC) B+(AF)+(IMC)	52 50 51 50 53	1411 5795 9387 1781 6193	896 3991 5587 1030 1524	222 2653 1217 83 0	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360 20/3900	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534 26/3817	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64 65 66 67	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979 1980 1983 1984	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda EORTC 10801 Danish BCG 82TM GBSG 01 Germany	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC B †B+(AF)+(IMC) B+(AF)+(IMC) B	52 50 51 50 53 51	1411 5795 9387 1781 6193 4119	896 3991 5587 1030 1524 2583	222 2653 1217 83 0 66	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360 20/3900 21/3574	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534 26/3817 25/3194	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64 65 66 67 Ductal	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979 1980 1983 1984 carcinom	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda EORTC 10801 Danish BCG 82TM GBSG 01 Germany	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC +B+(AF)+IMC B +B+(AF)+(IMC) B+(AF)+(IMC) B B+(F)+IMC	52 50 51 50 53 51 56	1411 5795 9387 1781 6193 4119 506	896 3991 5587 1030 1524 2583 86	222 2653 1217 83 0 66 0	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360 20/3900 21/3574 5/251	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534 26/3817 25/3194 5/341	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64 65 66 67 Ductal 68	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979 1980 1983 1984 carcinom 1985	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda EORTC 10801 Danish BCG 82TM GBSG 01 Germany ta in situ: RT vs no RT NSABP B-17	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC †B+(AF)+IMC B †B+(AF)+(IMC) B+(AF)+(IMC) B B+(F)+IMC B+(S)	52 50 51 50 53 51 56 55	1411 5795 9387 1781 6193 4119 506 6364	896 3991 5587 1030 1524 2583 86 3000	222 2653 1217 83 0 66 0 13	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360 20/3900 21/3574 5/251 51/5031	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534 26/3817 25/3194 5/341 44/4346	
BCS + R 61 29,62 1 63,64 65 66 67 Ductal	T vs mas 1972 1973 1976 1979 1980 1983 1984 carcinom	tectomy, both with axil IGR Villejuif INT Milan 1 NSABP B-06 NCI Bethesda EORTC 10801 Danish BCG 82TM GBSG 01 Germany	lary dissection B+(AF)+IMC +B+(AF)+IMC B +B+(AF)+(IMC) B+(AF)+(IMC) B B+(F)+IMC	52 50 51 50 53 51 56	1411 5795 9387 1781 6193 4119 506	896 3991 5587 1030 1524 2583 86	222 2653 1217 83 0 66 0	11/1319 78/6187 115/7965 15/1360 20/3900 21/3574 5/251	15/1210 88/6252 102/8226 15/1534 26/3817 25/3194 5/341	

page 3 of 7

Trial references:

- Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(16):1233-1241.
- 2. Wickberg A, Holmberg L, Adami HO, Magnuson A, Villman K, Liljegren G. Sector resection with or without postoperative radiotherapy for stage I breast cancer: 20-year results of a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(8):791-797.
- 3. Ford HT, Coombes RC, Gazet JC, et al. Long-term follow-up of a randomised trial designed to determine the need for irradiation following conservative surgery for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2006;17(3):401-408.
- 4. Whelan TJ, Levine M, Julian J, Kirkbride P, Skingley P. The effects of radiation therapy on quality of life of women with breast carcinoma: results of a randomized trial. Ontario Clinical Oncology Group. *Cancer* 2000;88(10):2260-2266.
- 5. Forrest AP, Stewart HJ, Everington D, et al. Randomised controlled trial of conservation therapy for breast cancer: 6-year analysis of the Scottish trial. *Lancet.* 1996;348:708-713.
- 6. Spooner D, Stocken DD, Jordan S, et al. A randomised controlled trial to evaluate both the role and optimal fractionation of radiotherapy in the conservative management of early breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(2 Suppl):A5125
- 7. Houghton J, Potyka I, Tobias J, Baum M, Odling-Smee W. Prophylactic radiotherapy following surgery for early breast cancer is the benefit mainly to patients with involved margins? Results from a Cancer Research Campaign trial. *Proc Annu Meet Am Soc Clin Oncol.* 2001;20:31a, A122.
- 8. Veronesi U, Marubini E, Mariani L, et al. Radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery in small breast carcinoma: long-term results of a randomized trial. *Ann Oncol.* 2001;12(7):997-1003.
- 9. Fisher B, Bryant J, Dignam JJ, et al. Tamoxifen, radiation therapy, or both for prevention of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence after lumpectomy in women with invasive breast cancers of one centimeter or less. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(20):4141-4149.
- 10. Holli K, Hietanen P, Saaristo R, Huhtala H, Hakama M, Joensuu H. Radiotherapy after segmental resection of breast cancer with favorable prognostic features: 12-year follow-up results of a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(6):927-932.
- 11. Winzer KJ, Sauerbrei W, Braun M, et al. Radiation therapy and tamoxifen after breast-conserving surgery: updated results of a 2 x 2 randomised clinical trial in patients with low risk of recurrence. *Eur J Cancer.* 2010;46(1):95-101.
- 12. Malmström P, Holmberg L, Anderson H, et al. Breast conservation surgery, with and without radiotherapy, in women with lymph node-negative breast cancer: a randomised clinical trial in a population with access to public mammography screening. *Eur J Cancer*. 2003;39:1690-7.
- 13. Malmstrom P, Killander F, Anderson H, et al. Long-term follow-up of SweBCG 91RT, a randomized trial of breast conservation surgery with and without radiotherapy from the Swedish Breast Cancer Group. *Cancer Res.* 2010;70(24 Suppl):346s, P4-10-03.
- 14. Fyles AW, McCready DR, Manchul LA, et al. Tamoxifen with or without breast irradiation in women 50 years of age or older with early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351(10):963-970.
- 15. Fyles A, McCready D, Olivotto I, et al. Mature results of a randomized trial of tamoxifen with or without breast radiation in women over 50 years of age with T1/2 N0 breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer Suppl.* 2010;8(3):125-126, A231.
- 16. Blamey RW, Bates T, Chetty U, et al. Radiotherapy or tamoxifen after conserving surgery for breast cancers of excellent prognosis: British Association of Surgical Oncology (BASO) II trial. *Eur J Cancer.* 2013;49(10):2294-2302.
- 17. Hughes KS, Schnaper LA, Bellon JR, et al. Lumpectomy plus tamoxifen with or without irradiation in women age 70 years or older with early breast cancer: long-term follow-up of CALGB 9343. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(19) :2382-2387.

- 18. Potter R, Gnant M, Kwasny W, et al. Lumpectomy plus tamoxifen or anastrozole with or without whole breast irradiation in women with favorable early breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2007;68(2):334-340.
- 19. Prescott R. J., Kunkler IH, Williams LJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial of postoperative radiotherapy following breastconserving surgery in a minimum-risk older population. The PRIME trial. *Health Technol Assess.* 2007;11(31):i-x, 1-170.
- 20. Tinterri C, Gatzemeier W, Costa A, et a.: Breast-conservative surgery with and without radiotherapy in patients aged 55-75 years with early-stage breast cancer: a prospective, randomized, multicenter trial analysis after 108 months of median follow-up. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014;21(2):408-415.
- 21. Fisher B, Slack NH, Cavanaugh PJ, Gardner B, Ravdin RG. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer: results of the NSABP clinical trial. *Ann Surg.* 1970;172(4):711-732.
- 22. Berndt H, Eichhorn JH, Widow W. Ein kontrollierter klinischer Versuch zur Zusatztherapie des operablen Brustdrusenkrebses mit Vorbestrahlung oder Cyclophosphamid. *Arch Geschwulstforsch.* 1980;50(5):468-479.
- 23. Host H, Brennhovd IO, Loeb M. Postoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer long term results from the Oslo study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1986;12(5):727-732.
- 24. Friedl W, Scheurlen H, Amberger H, Henningsen B. Radiotherapy in operable breast cancer 10 year results of a prospective randomized trial. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 1984;3(1):71-77.
- 25. Gyenes G, Rutqvist LE, Liedberg A, Fornander T. Long-term cardiac morbidity and mortality in a randomized trial of preand postoperative radiation therapy versus surgery alone in primary breast cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* 1998;48(2):185-90.
- 26. Arriagada R, Johansson H, Jonansson U, Fornander T, Bergh J. Adjuvant radiotherapy in breast cancer: Results of the Stockholm randomised trial with 30-years of follow-up. *Radiother Oncol.* 2010;96(Suppl 1):S196, A521.
- Ahmann DL, Scanlon PW, Bisel HF, et al. Repeated adjuvant chemotherapy with phenylalanine mustard or 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone with or without radiation, after mastectomy for breast cancer. *Lancet*. 1978;1(8070):893-896.
- 28. Martinez A, Ahmann D, O'Fallon J, et al. An interim analysis of the randomized surgical adjuvant trial for patients with unfavourable breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1984;10(Suppl 2):106, A57.
- 29. Veronesi U, Banfi A, Salvadori B, et al. Breast conservation is the treatment of choice in small breast cancer: long term results of a randomized trial. *Eur J Cancer*. 1990;26(6):668-670.
- 30. Griem K, Henderson I, Gelman R, et al. The 5 year results of a randomized trial of adjuvant radiation therapy after chemotherapy in breast cancer patients treated with mastectomy. *J Clin Oncol.* 1987;5(10):1546-1555.
- 31. Muss HB, Cooper MR, Brockschmidt JK, et al. A randomized trial of chemotherapy (L-PAM vs CMF) and irradiation for node positive breast cancer. Eleven year follow up of a Piedmont Oncology Association trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 1991;19(2):77-84.
- 32. Velez-Garcia E, Carpenter JT Jr, Moore M, et al. Postsurgical adjuvant chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy in women with breast cancer and positive axillary nodes: A South Eastern Cancer Study Group (SEG) trial. *Eur J Cancer*. 1992;28A(11):1833-1837.
- 33. McArdle CS, McMillan DC, Greenlaw N, Morrison DS. Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy in breast cancer: 30 year follow-up of survival. *BMC Cancer*. 2010;10:398
- 34. Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN, Kau S-W, et al: Breast cancer adjuvant therapy trials of M. D. Andersen Hospital: results of three studies. In:Salmon SE ed. Adjuvant Therapy of Cancer V New York, NY. Grune & Stratton; 1987:411-419.
- 35. Killander F, Anderson H, Ryden S, Moller T, Hafstrom LO, Malmstrom P. Efficient reduction of loco-regional recurrences but no effect on mortality twenty years after postmastectomy radiation in premenopausal women with stage II breast cancer a randomized trial from the South Sweden Breast Cancer Group. *Breast.* 2009;18(5):309-315.

- 36. Ragaz J, Olivotto IA, Spinelli JJ, et al. Locoregional radiation therapy in patients with high-risk breast cancer receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: 20-year results of the British Columbia randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005;97(2):116-126.
- 37. Faber P, Jesdinsky HJ: Adjuvant therapy in operated breast cancer preliminary results of two randomized trials. *Fourth International Conference on the Adjuvant Therapy of Cancer*. 1984:79
- 38. de Oliveira CF, Gervasio H, Gordilho J, et al: Adjuvant chemotherapy versus radiotherapy and chemotherapy in operable breast cancer. A randomized trial. *Fourth EORTC Breast Cancer Working Conference*. 1987:C2C.2
- 39. Papaioannou A, Lissaios B, Vasilaros S, et al. Pre- and postoperative chemoendocrine treatment with or without postoperative radiotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer. *Cancer.* 1983;51(7):1284-1290.
- 40. Blomqvist C, Tiusanen K, Elomaa I, et al. The combination of radiotherapy, adjuvant chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide doxorubicin ftorafur) and tamoxifen in stage II breast cancer. Long term follow up results of a randomised trial. *Br J Cancer.* 1992;66(6):1171-1176.
- 41. Hayat H, Brufman G, Borovik R, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy vs chemotherapy alone for stage II breast cancer patients: a national randomized trial. *Ann Oncol.* 1990;1(Suppl):21
- 42. Overgaard M, Hansen PS, Overgaard J, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk premenopausal women with breast cancer who receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group 82b Trial . *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337:949-955.
- 43. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group, Nielsen HM, Overgaard M, Grau C, Jensen AR, Overgaard J. Study of failure pattern among high-risk breast cancer patients with or without postmastectomy radiotherapy in addition to adjuvant systemic therapy: long-term results from the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group DBCG 82 b and c randomized studies. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24(15):2268-75.
- 44. Overgaard M, Jensen MB, Overgaard J, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk postmenopausal breast-cancer patients given adjuvant tamoxifen: Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group DBCG 82c randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353:1641-1648.
- 45. Olson JE, Neuberg D, Pandya KJ, et al. The role of radiotherapy in the management of operable locally advanced breast carcinoma: results of a randomized trial by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Cancer.* 1997;79:1138-1149.
- 46. Schmoor C, Bastert G, Dunst J, et al. Randomized trial on the effect of radiotherapy in addition to 6 cycles CMF in nodepositive breast-cancer patients. The German Breast-Cancer Study Group. *Int J Cancer*. 2000;86(3) :408-415.
- 47. Turnbull AR, Chant ADB, Buchanan RB, Turner DTL, Shepherd JM, Fraser JD. Treatment of early breast cancer. *Lancet*. 1978;2(8079):7-9.
- 48. Stewart HJ, Jack WJL, Everington D, et al. South east Scottish trial of local therapy in node negative breast cancer. *Breast.* 1994;3(1):31-39.
- 49. Morgan DA, Berridge J, Blamey RW. Postoperative radiotherapy following mastectomy for high-risk breast cancer. A randomised trial. *Eur J Cancer*. 2002;38(8):1107-1110.
- 50. Lythgoe JP, Palmer MK. Manchester regional breast study 5 and 10 year results. *Br J Surg.* 1982;69(12):693-696.
- 51. Cancer Research Campaign Working Party. Cancer research campaign (King's/Cambridge) trial for early breast cancer. A detailed update at the tenth year. *Lancet*. 1980;2(8185):55-60.
- 52. Haybittle JL, Brinkley D, Houghton J, A'Hern RP, Baum M. Postoperative radiotherapy and late mortality: evidence from the Cancer Research Campaign trial for early breast cancer. *BMJ.* 1989;298(6688):1611-1614.
- 53. Fisher B, Jeong JH, Anderson S, Bryant J, Fisher ER, Wolmark N. Twenty-five-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing radical mastectomy, total mastectomy, and total mastectomy followed by irradiation. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347(8):567-575.

- 54. Breast Cancer Trials Committee, Scottish Cancer Trials Office. Adjuvant tamoxifen in the management of operable breast cancer: the Scottish trial. *Lancet.* 1987;2(8552):171-175.
- 55. Yamashita T, Hurukawa M, Sekiguchi K, et al. Efficacy of loco-regional lymphnodes irradiation after mastectomy for breast cancer with biopsy proven parasternal lymphnodes metastases -- A randomized study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1996;36(1 Suppl 1):277, A1066.
- 56. Johansen H, Kaae S, Jensen MB, Mouridsen HT. Extended radical mastectomy versus simple mastectomy followed by radiotherapy in primary breast cancer. A fifty-year follow-up to the Copenhagen Breast Cancer randomised study. *Acta Oncol* 2008;47(4):633-638.
- 57. Langlands AO, Prescott RJ, Hamilton T. A clinical trial in the management of operable cancer of the breast. *Br J Surg.* 1980;67(3):170-174.
- 58. Forrest APM, Everington D, McDonald CC, Steele RJC, Chetty U, Stewart HJ. The Edinburgh randomized trial of axillary sampling or clearance after mastectomy. *Br J Surg.* 1995;82(11):1504-1508.
- 59. Louis Sylvestre C, Clough K, Asselain B, et al. Axillary treatment in conservative management of operable breast cancer: dissection or radiotherapy? Results of a randomized study with 15 years of follow-up. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(1)97-101.
- 60. Fentiman IS. Long-term follow-up of the first breast conservation trial: Guy's wide excision study. Breast. 2000;9(1):5-8.
- 61. Arriagada R, Le MG, Guinebretiere JM, Dunant A, Rochard F, Tursz T. Late local recurrences in a randomised trial comparing conservative treatment with total mastectomy in early breast cancer patients *Ann Oncol.* 2003;14(11):1617-1622.
- 62. Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347(16):1227-1232.
- 63. Poggi MM, Danforth DN, Sciuto LC, et al. Eighteen-year results in the treatment of early breast carcinoma with mastectomy versus breast conservation therapy: the National Cancer Institute Randomized Trial. *Cancer.* 2003;98(4):697-702.
- 64. Simone NL, Dan TD, Smith SL, et al. Twenty-five year results in the treatment of early breast carcinoma with mastectomy versus breast conservation therapy: the National Cancer Institute randomized trial. *Cancer Res.* 2010;70(24 Suppl):345s, P4-10-01.
- 65. Litiere S, Werutsky G, Fentiman IS, et al. Breast conserving therapy versus mastectomy for stage I-II breast cancer: 20 year follow-up of the EORTC 10801 phase 3 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13(4):412-419.
- 66. Blichert-Toft M, Nielsen M, During M, et al. Long-term results of breast conserving surgery vs. mastectomy for early stage invasive breast cancer: 20-year follow-up of the Danish randomized DBCG-82TM protocol. *Acta Oncol.* 2008;47(4):672-681.
- 67. Rauschecker HF, Sauerbrei W, Gatzemeier W, et al. Eight-year results of a prospective non-randomised study on therapy of small breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 1998;34(3):315-323.
- 68. Fisher B, Dignam J, Wolmark N, et al. Lumpectomy and radiation therapy for the treatment of intraductal breast cancer: findings from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-17 *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16:441-452.
- 69. Donker M, Litiere S, Werutsky G, et al. Breast-conserving treatment with or without radiotherapy in ductal carcinoma In Situ: 15-year recurrence rates and outcome after a recurrence, from the EORTC 10853 randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(32):4054405-9.
- 70. Warnberg F, Garmo H, Emdin S, et al. Effect of radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery for ductal carcinoma in situ: 20 years follow-up in the randomized SweDCIS Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(32):3613-3618.
- 71. Cuzick J, Sestak I, Pinder SE, et al. Effect of tamoxifen and radiotherapy in women with locally excised ductal carcinoma in situ: long-term results from the UK/ANZ DCIS trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011;12(1):21-29. Page 7 of 7





	Deaths/W	/oman-years	RT	deaths		
Category	Allocated RT	Allocated No RT	Lograni O-E	Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual death rates RT : No RT	Rate Ratio (95% CI)
(a) Age at entry (years) (χ	² - 0 0 m -	0.4)				
(a) Age at entry (years) (χ <50	250/26267	0.4) 302/23438	23.2	135.6		1.19 (1.00-1.40)
~50 50–59	564/19836	486/18335	23.2 34.8	217.4		1.17 (1.03-1.34)
60+		855/14767	88.9	361.5	│ →∎→	1.28 (1.15–1.42)
(b) Radiotherapy modality	$(\chi_3^2 = 1.1;$	p = 0.8)				
Cobalt-60	716/25929	626/24450	46.9	262.7		1.20 (1.06–1.35)
Aegavoltage X-rays	285/12648	222/10571	16.6	109.6		1.16 (0.96-1.40)
Electrons	138/6088	94/4709	12.3	51.4		1.27 (0.97-1.67)
Orthovoltage X-rays	708/15573	626/14841	65.9	265.3		1.28 (1.14-1.45)
Other/unknown	56/1221	75/1969	5.2	25.6		1.23 (0.83-1.80)
(c) Radiotherapy technique	$e(\gamma_0^2 = 8.2$: p = 0.02)				
Direct IMC field	562/17088	446/15628	72.8	199.1		1.44 (1.25–1.66)
angent	433/19872	376/17649	72.0 14.5	199.1		1.08 (0.94-1.25)
Vide tangent	852/23279	746/21294	54.4	307.9		1.19 (1.07-1.33)
Other/unknown	56/1221	75/1969	5.2	25.6		1.23 (0.83–1.80)
			0.2	25.0		1.23 (0.65-1.60)
d) Use of chemotherapy	(χ ₁ ² = 1.6; μ	o = 0.2)				
No chemotherapy	1715/51440	1494/47819	123.2	647.8	-∰-	1.21 (1.12–1.31)
Chemotherapy	188/10019	149/8721	23.4	66.6		1.42 (1.12–1.81)
(e) Use of tamoxifen (χ_1^2 =	0.0; p=0.	9)				
No tamoxifen	1663/53989	1450/50063	126.8	621.8		1.23 (1.13-1.33)
Tamoxifen	240/7471	193/6477	20.2	92.3		1.24 (1.01-1.53)
(f) Year trial began (χ_1^2 = 2	.3; p = 0.1)					
Before 1970	483/10320	492/11977	55.3	186.1		1.35 (1.17-1.55)
1970s	804/21762	658/19713	53.5	284.4		1.21 (1.07-1.36)
980s	423/20995	332/17968	31.5	164.6		1.21 (1.04-1.41)
1990-2000	193/8382	161/6882	6.7	79.4	_	1.09 (0.87-1.36)
g) Period of follow–up ($\chi_1^2 = 0.6; p$	= 0.4)				
rears 0-4	606/81457	-	6.5	275.0	_ _	1.02 (0.91-1.15)
/ears 5–9	722/52040	606/46645	31.0	303.0		1.11 (0.99–1.24)
/ears 10−14	637/32119		49.8	249.3		1.22 (1.08-1.38)
rears 15-19	496/17448		24.9	195.7		1.14 (0.99-1.31)
Years 20+	770/11893	692/12147	72.2	269.6	│ [┻] ┼┳──	1.31 (1.16-1.47)
	1903/	1643/				
Total (years 10+ only)	61458	56540	146.9	714.5	$ \rightarrow 1.23(1)$	14–1.32) 00001
	(3.1%/y)	(2.9%/y)			т р<0. Г	
				0.5	1.0	2.0
					RT better RT worse	

Mortality without breast cancer recurrence during years 10+ (57 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to test for trend.

IMC=internal mammary chain.

	Deaths/V	Voman-years	RT	deaths		
Category	Allocate RT	d Allocated No RT	Lograr O-E	nk Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual death rates RT : No RT	Rate Ratio (95% Cl)
	-> (² -04	- 0 7)				
(a) Age at entry (years	•		00.4	100.0		
<50 50–59	478/68523 838/61640	406/61227 753/57224	29.4 35.3	189.8 340.7		1.17 (1.01-1.35)
60+	1915/64794		35.3 119.8	340.7 762.1		1.11 (1.00-1.23) 1.17 (1.09-1.26)
				10211		
b) Radiotherapy mod	lality ($\chi_3^2 = 2.0;$	p = 0.6)				
Cobalt-60	1219/76363	1053/71359	67.9	475.3	- -	1.15 (1.05-1.26)
∕legavoltage X−rays	602/54655	487/48549	31.2	248.9		1.13 (1.00-1.28)
Electrons	247/19257	205/16433	3.8	103.1		1.04 (0.86-1.26)
Orthovoltage X-rays	1076/41502	971/39386	78.9	423.9	│ _;∎	1.20 (1.10-1.32)
Other/unknown	87/3179	117/4529	2.7	41.3		1.07 (0.79-1.45
(c) Radiotherapy tech	nique ($\chi_2^2 = 4.2$	2; p = 0.1)				
Direct IMC field	_	830/48028	76.3	367.4		1.23 (1.11-1.36)
langent	1008/84781	873/76406	30.2	438.3	↓ <u>∎</u> ⊥	1.07 (0.98-1.18)
Nide tangent	1184/55640		75.3	445.5		1.18 (1.08–1.30)
Other/unknown	87/3179	117/4529	2.7	41.3		1.07 (0.79-1.45)
			2.1	41.5		1.07 (0.79-1.45)
d) Use of chemothera	apy ($\chi_1^2 = 0.1;$	p = 0.8)			1	
No chemotherapy	2887/1625672	532/150959	162.0	1157.6	-■-	1.15 (1.09-1.22)
Chemotherapy	344/32389	301/29297	22.2	134.7		1.18 (1.00-1.40)
(e) Use of tamoxifen	$(\chi_1^2 = 0.8; p = 0)$.4)				
No tamoxifen	2636/1574322		160.3	1037.1		1.17 (1.10-1.24)
Tamoxifen		520/34404	23.8	254.7	↓ ■ ↓ ■	1.10 (0.97-1.24)
(f) Veer triel becom	$x^2 = 4.7$ m = 0.01	21				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(f) Year trial began ()	-					
Before 1970		702/25479	67.0	274.3		1.28 (1.13-1.44)
1970s	1169/54758	961/50098	70.9	435.8	│ ── ₱──	1.18 (1.07–1.29)
1980s	752/64595	647/57516	25.2	315.9	+ -	1.08 (0.97–1.21)
990-2000	610/52682	523/47164	21.3	266.7	₩	1.08 (0.96-1.22)
g) Period of follow-u	p (χ_1^2 = 7.6; p =	= 0.006)				
∕ears 0−4		584/77071	6.5	275.0	_	1.02 (0.91-1.15
rears 5-9	722/52040	606/46645	31.0	303.0		1.11 (0.99–1.24)
rears 10−14	637/32119	506/28587	49.8	249.3		1.22 (1.08–1.38)
rears 15-19	496/17448	445/15806	24.9	195.7		1.14 (0.99-1.31)
Years 20+	770/11893	692/12147	72.2	269.6		1.31 (1.16–1.47)
	00041	0000/				
	3231/	2833/	40 4 -	1000 -		4.00
Total	194957	180256	184.5	1292.6	→ 1.15 (1.09 , p<0.000	1.22)
	(1.7%/y)	(1.6%/y)			i p<0.000	
				L		
				0.5	1.0	2.0
					RT better	

Mortality without breast cancer recurrence (75 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information.

IMC=internal mammary chain.

Table S4. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy (RT) on non-breast-cancer mortality without any breast cancer

recurrence. DVT= deep vein thrombosis, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

		Number of deaths (total woman-years)			grank tistics		
	RT (194957)	No RT (180250)	Adjusted excess* (95% CI)	О-Е	v	Rate ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Cancers							
Leukaemia	20	19	0 (-12-11)	-0.2	8.6	0.97 (0.50—1.91)	0.94
Lung	120	65	43 (17-69)	21.6	43.5	1.64 (1.22—2.21)	0.001
Pleura	2	0	-	0.6	0.4	-	0.36
Oesophagus	17	6	10 (1-19)	5.0	5.5	2.51 (1.08—5.72)	0.03
Pancreas	36	25	10 (-4-24)	5.0	12.9	1.47 (0.85—2.54)	0.16
Stomach	36	36	-3 (-19-12)	-1.7	16.3	0.90 (0.55—1.46)	0.68
Large intestine	64	59	2 (-19-22)	0.9	27.6	1.03 (0.71—1.50)	0.87
Ovary	39	41	-5 (-22-12)	-2.6	18.9	0.87 (0.56—1.37)	0.55
Endometrium	18	14	3 (-8-13)	1.3	7.7	1.18 (0.58—2.40)	0.64
Cervix	9	10	-2 (-10-7)	-0.9	4.7	0.83 (0.33—2.04)	0.69
Melanoma	2	1	-	0.7	0.7	-	0.38
Soft tissue	7	4	3 (-3-9)	1.3	2.4	1.75 (0.49—6.09)	0.39
Lymphoma	32	19	9 (-4-23)	4.6	12.0	1.46 (0.83—2.58)	0.19
Other specified site	73	76	-5 (-27-18)	-2.3	32.6	0.93 (0.66—1.31)	0.69
Circulatory							
Ischaemic heart disease	368	296	66 (19-114)	33.2	146.2	1.26 (1.07—1.48)	0.006
Arrhythmia	42	19	22 (7-36)	10.8	14.2	2.14 (1.27—3.60)	0.004
Heart failure, with IHD	14	12	2 (-8-11)	0.9	6.1	1.16 (0.52—2.56)	0.72
Heart failure, no IHD	63	33	28 (10-46)	14.1	21.2	1.94 (1.27—2.98)	0.002
Heart valve disease	31	15	14 (1-26)	6.9	10.1	1.97 (1.07—3.67)	0.03
Pericardial disease	4	0	-	1.8	1.0	-	0.07
Other heart disease	183	173	7 (-26-42)	3.9	76.8	1.05 (0.84—1.32)	0.66
Pulmonary embolism inc.							
DVT	32	14	14 (2-27)	7.2	9.8	2.10 (1.11—3.90)	0.02
Stroke	183	175	13 (-22-48)	6.4	80.8	1.08 (0.87—1.35)	0.48
Other specified cause							
External cause	41	36	5 (-12-21)	2.3	17.4	1.14 (0.71—1.83)	0.58
Hepatic	18	17	-1 (-12-10)	-0.6	8.2	0.93 (0.47—1.84)	0.84
Infectious/parasitic	13	5	7 (-1-15)	3.5	4.0	2.36 (0.90—6.39)	0.08
Pneumonia	77	72	11 (-12-33)	5.3	32.7	1.18 (0.83—1.66)	0.35
COPD	28	40	-18 (-343)	-9.1	15.6	0.56 (0.34—0.92)	0.02
Other respiratory	34	23	11 (-3-24)	5.3	12.3	1.55 (0.88—2.69)	0.13
Other specified cause	212	247	-34 (-73-5)	-17.0	100.2	0.84 (0.69—1.03)	0.09
Not specified cause							
Unspecified, but not breast	694	626	74 (8-139)	36.9	285.6	1.14 (1.01—1.20)	0.03
Unknown cause	719	655	79 (12-146)	39.4	291.6	1.14 (1.02—1.28)	0.02
All causes	3231	2833	369 (228-510)	184.4	1292.6	1.15 (1.09—1.22)	<0.0001

*The adjusted excess number of events (or deaths) in the RT group is calculated as twice the logrank Observed minus Expected (see Methods S1 for details) and allows for RT delaying recurrence. Table S2 relates ICD-10 codes used in grouping diseases.

Table S5. Rates of various types of breast cancer event in women allocated no radiotherapy, by nodal status in the present study.

For contralateral breast cancers (column 1 of type of event) the rates are similar in women who were originally treated for node negative and node positive disease. In contrast, for recurrence (columns 2 and 3) the rates are much higher for women who were originally treated for node positive disease. So, the contralateral breast cancers are likely to be new primaries and not wrongly classified recurrent disease.

	Type of event									
Nodal status	Contralater before any recu	••••	Distant recurre as first even	Any first recurrence (local or distant)						
Status	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)				
N0/N-	412/102617	0.40	1633/106871	1.53	3756/114705	3.27				
N+/N?	261/63455	0.41	2654/69236	3.83	5076/73972	6.86				

75 trials contributed events to this analysis.

Abbreviations: N0=node-negative (pathological), N= node-negative (clinical or other), N+=node-positive (pathological or clinical), N?= unknown nodal status.

Figure S4. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on incidence of contralateral breast cancer.

Woman-years	RT	events		
ed Allocated		k Variance	Ratio of annual event rates	Rate Ratio
No RT	0-Е	of O-E	RT : No RT	(95% CI)
= 0.6)			1	
240/56739	14.7	124.9	→	1.12 (0.94–1.34
221/52650	29.8	123.2	│ ──¦ æ ──	1.27 (1.07-1.52)
212/56682	20.4	110.0	⊢	1.20 (1.00-1.45)
412/102617	39.1	226.8	_∎_	1.19 (1.04–1.35)
261/63455	25.8	131.4		1.22 (1.03-1.44)
; p = 0.03)				
325/67416	10.9	154.2		1.07 (0.92-1.26
186/46581	9.3	101.3		1.10 (0.90-1.33
52/16433	11.6	31.7	<u> </u>	1.44 (1.02-2.04)
106/31113	30.9	68.7	! ₽	1.57 (1.24-1.99)
4/4529	2.2	2.3		2.60 (0.71-9.48)
1; p = 0.3)				
6 163/43178	27.9	90.7		1.36 (1.11-1.67)
302/71682	21.7	167.1		1.14 (0.98-1.33)
204/46683	13.1	98.1	- 	1.14 (0.94-1.39)
4/4529	2.2	2.3		2.60 (0.71-9.48)
p = 1.0)				
573/138679	56.0	307.3		1.20 (1.07-1.34)
100/27393	8.9	50.8		1.19 (0.91–1.57)
0.1)				
589/133436	45.4	306.0		1.16 (1.04-1.30)
84/32636	20.4	52.0		1.48 (1.13-1.94)
c)				
6)				
66/21817	5.2	32.4	— <u> </u>	1.17 (0.83-1.66)
3 211/45012	28.0	109.6		1.29 (1.07-1.56)
5 257/52277	19.8	137.3		1.16 (0.98-1.37)
139/46966	11.9	78.9		1.16 (0.93–1.45)
< 0.0001)				
301/70318	5.5	147.3	 	1.04 (0.88-1.22)
150/42662	56.4	103.7		1.72 (1.42-2.09)
103/26387	9.2	55.5		1.18 (0.91–1.54)
119/26704	-6.2	51.5		0.89 (0.67–1.17)
673/				
166071 (0.4%/y)	64.9	358.1		1.33)
(U.470/Y)		ı		1
			40	 2.5
				2,3
			L0.5	0.5 1.0 RT better

Contralateral breast cancer incidence (65 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information.

IMC=internal mammary chain

Table S6. Relative risks of contralateral breast cancer after breast cancer radiotherapy in the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Result (SEER) program and in the Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) trials, both by time since irradiation.

	SEER*	EBCTCG
Period of follow-up (years)	RT vs no RT	RT vs no RT
	Rate ratio (95% CI)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)
0-4	1.10 (1.05 – 1.16)	1.04 (0.88 – 1.22)
5-9	1.33 (1.24 – 1.42)	1.72 (1.42 – 2.09)
10-14	1.50 (1.36 – 1.65)	1.18 (0.91 – 1.54)
15+	1.37 (1.20 – 1.58)	0.89 (0.67 – 1.17)
All years	1.25 (1.21 – 1.30)	1.20 (1.08 – 1.33)

SEER data based on 13,428 events in 322,863 women. 65 EBCTCG trials contributed events to this analysis (1554 events in 344,829 woman-years).

*The ratio of observed/expected events was calculated for subsequent breast cancer after previous treatment of breast cancer with or without radiotherapy. Observed events were calculated as all second and later (third, fourth, etc) invasive breast cancers that developed at least two months after the first primary cancer was treated, so as to be comparable with the expected numbers derived from baseline SEER incidence rates, which include multiple second tumours. Source: Tables 7.1.8 -11 of Curtis RE, Ron E, Hankey BF, Hoover RN. Chapter 7. New malignancies following breast cancer from: Curtis RE, Freedman DM, Ron E, Ries LAG, Hacker DG, Edwards BK, Tucker MA, Fraumeni JF Jr. (eds). New Malignancies Among Cancer Survivors: SEER Cancer Registries, 1973-2000. National Cancer Institute, NIH Publ. No. 05-5302. Bethesda, MD, 2006.





Table S7. Rate of lung cancer incidence, in women allocated no radiotherapy, compared to various types of breast cancer event, by nodal status in the present study.

For lung cancers (column 1 of type of event) the rates are similar in women who were originally treated for node negative and node positive disease. In contrast, for recurrence (columns 2 and 3) the rates are much higher for women who were originally treated for node positive disease. So, the lung cancers are likely to be new primaries and not wrongly classified lung metastases.

		Type of event									
Nodal status	Lung cancer (second primary)		Distant recurre as first even		Any first recurrence (local or distant)						
Status	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)	Events /woman-years	Rate (%/y)					
N0/N-	66/102391	0.06	1633/106871	1.53	3756/114705	3.27					
N+/N?	34/59860	0.06	2654/69236	3.83	5076/73972	6.86					

75 trials contributed events to this analysis.

Abbreviations: N0=node-negative (pathological), N-= node-negative (clinical or other), N+=node-positive (pathological or clinical), N?= unknown nodal status.

Figure S6. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on lung cancer incidence during years 10+.

	Events/Woman-years			vents			-
Category	Allocated RT	Allocated No RT	Logrank O-E	Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual event rates RT : No RT		_ Rate Ratio (95% CI)
a) Age at entry (years) (χ	$^{2}_{1} = 0.0; p =$	0.9)					
50	37/26633	, 18/24760	9.7	13.0			- 2.11 (1.22-3.63)
)-59	35/18999	14/18008	7.7	11.4			- 1.96 (1.10-3.51)
+	22/14041	8/13529	6.0	7.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	→ 2.33 (1.12-4.86)
) Nodal status ($\chi_1^2 = 0.0$;							,
b) Nodal status ($\chi_1 = 0.0$;							
egative	54/36548	26/37776	14.6	19.5			2.11 (1.36-3.30)
ositive (or not known)	40/23126	14/18522	8.8	12.0			- 2.08 (1.18-3.67)
c) Breast cancer laterality	(χ ₁ ² = 1.7;	p = 0.2)					
ight	41/24650	13/21605	12.2	12.5			
əft	29/23512	18/22606	4.6	10.7			1.54 (0.84-2.80)
nkn/Other	24/11511	9/12088	6.9	7.6			
l) Radiotherapy modality	(χ_3^2 = 0.5;	p = 0.9)					
obalt-60	33/22956	15/22187	7.7	10.7			— 2.05 (1.13-3.74)
egavoltage X−rays	15/10441	7/8690	2.4	5.2			- 1.59 (0.67-3.75)
ectrons	16/7005	5/5770	4.4	5.1			
thovoltage X-rays	29/18067	13/17698	8.2	10.2			→ 2.23 (1.21-4.13)
ther/unknown	1/1204	0/1953	0.6	0.2			20.09 (0.25-1607
) Radiotherapy technique	• (χ ₂ ² = 1.3;	p = 0.5)					
rect IMC field	39/17009	15/15836	11.4	12.9			
ingent	20/16096	11/14524	2.7	7.2			1.45 (0.70-3.02)
ide tangent	34/25365	14/23984	8.8	11.1			2.21 (1.23-3.98)
ther/unknown	1/1204	0/1953	0.6	0.2			20.09 (0.25-1607
) RT contained an IMC fie	ld ($\chi_1^2 = 0.5$	ō; p = 0.5)					
o IMC RT	21/13657	10/12232	3.7	7.2			- 1.67 (0.81-3.47)
IC RT	73/46017	30/44065	19.7	24.3			- 2.25 (1.51-3.35)
g) Use of chemotherapy ($\chi_1^2 = 0.3; p$	= 0.6)					
o chemotherapy	77/49282	35/46888	18.6	26.4			2.02 (1.38-2.96)
							• •
hemotherapy	17/10392	5/9409	4.8	5.0			─ > 2.61 (1.09-6.27)
h) Use of tamoxifen (χ_1^2 =	0.1; p = 0.8	3)					
o tamoxifen	79/53466	34/50814	20.3	26.5		i 	2.15 (1.47-3.15)
moxifen	15/6208	6/5484	3.1	5.0			→ 1.86 (0.77-4.47)
) Year trial began (χ_1^2 = 1.	.8; p = 0.2)						
efore 1970	27/9388	10/11262	9.0	8.9			
970s	27/23920	11/22268	7.6	8.6			
)80s	30/21114	14/18527	5.6	10.5	_		1.70 (0.93-3.12)
990–2000	10/5252	5/4240	1.1	3.5			1.37 (0.48-3.90)
) Period of follow–up $(\chi$	² ₁ = 0.4; p =	0.5)					
ears 0-4	32/70416	31/66687	-0.4	15.5	——-E	┣───── ┤	0.97 (0.59-1.60)
ears 5–9	39/43507	29/39266	2.8	16.2			1.19 (0.73–1.93)
ears 10-14	36/27842	16/25144	7.5	12.3			1.84 (1.05-3.22)
ears 15+	58/31832	24/31153	15.9	19.2		_	- 2.29 (1.46-3.58)
	94/	40/					
Total (years 10+ only)	59673	56297	23.4	31.5			2.10 (1 48-2 98)
iotal (Jears IV: Olly)		(0.1%/y)	20.7	01.0			2.10 (1.48–2.98) P = 0.00003
	((/w J)					1
				0.5	1.		4.0
					etter	- RT worse	

Lung cancer incidence during years 10+ (30 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to tests for trend or heterogeneity.

IMC=internal mammary chain.

Figure S7. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on lung cancer incidence (all years).

	Events/Woman-years		RT events			
Category	Allocated		Logrank Variance O–E of O–E	Ratio of annual event rates		
	RT	No RT		of O-E	RT : No RT	(95% CI)
a) Age at entry (years)	(χ ₁ ² = 1.3; p =	0.3)				
50	52/63453	32/57827	9.9	19.7		1.65 (1.06-2.57)
0–59	60/54639	27/51138	12.1	20.7		1.79 (1.17-2.76)
0+	53/55505	41/53286	3.8	22.7		1.18 (0.78-1.78)
b) Nodal status ($\chi_1^2 = 0$.0; p=0.9)					
legative	100/104354	66/102391	16.4	40.7		1.50 (1.10-2.03)
ositive (or not known)	65/69243	34/59860	9.5	22.4	≣	1.53 (1.01-2.31)
c) Breast cancer laterali	ty $(\chi_1^2 = 1.3;$	p = 0.3)				
light	67/65122	30/57727	14.5	22.9		1.88 (1.25-2.84)
eft	62/65847	42/62169	7.2	23.9		1.35 (0.91-2.02)
nkn/Other	36/42627	28/42354	3.0	15.2		1.22 (0.74-2.01)
d) Radiotherapy modali	tv ($\gamma_2^2 = 1.9$;	p = 0.6)				
obalt-60	61/68168	36/64452	10.7	22.4		1.61 (1.07-2.44)
legavoltage X-rays	41/42560	30/37640	2.4	17.3		1.15 (0.72–1.84)
lectrons	24/20007	10/17382	5.4	8.2		1.93 (0.97-3.83)
)rthovoltage X−rays	38/39715	24/38268	6.7	15.1		1.56 (0.94-2.58)
Other/unknown	1/3147	0/4508	0.6	0.2		20.09 (0.25-1607.
e) Radiotherapy techniq	ue ($\chi_2^2 = 2.8;$	p = 0.2)				
Direct IMC field	59/49296	27/46226	14.2	20.6	│ _ ¦∎	1.99 (1.29-3.07)
angent	61/67535	44/61178	5.1	25.5		1.22 (0.83-1.80)
Vide tangent	44/53619	29/50338	5.9	16.9	 ;	1.42 (0.88-2.28)
Other/unknown	1/3147	0/4508	0.6	0.2		20.09 (0.25-1607.
f) RT contained an IMC t	field ($\chi_1^2 = 2.2$	2; p = 0.1)				
INC RT	58/61939	43/56100	4.2	24.5	_	1.19 (0.80–1.76)
MC RT	106/110140	57/104643	21.2	38.5		1.73 (1.26-2.38)
Inknown	1/1517	0/1508	0.5	0.2		12.18 (0.15-975.1
g) Use of chemotherapy	$\chi (\chi_1^2 = 1.3; p$	= 0.2)				
lo chemotherapy	134/142299	88/133651	18.3	53.1		1.41 (1.08–1.85)
Chemotherapy	31/31297	12/28600	7.5	10.1		2.10 (1.13-3.89)
						()
h) Use of tamoxifen (χ^2	$_{1} = 2.2; p = 0.2$	1)				
lo tamoxifen	124/140642	67/131500	23.8	45.2		1.69 (1.26-2.27)
amoxifen	41/32955	33/30751	2.0	18.0		1.12 (0.70–1.77)
i) Year trial began (χ_1^2 =	4.5; p = 0.03)				
efore 1970	28/20869	12/23527	8.7	9.6	│	
970s	40/52693	23/48877	7.4	14.3	├ ── ┆ड ────	1.68 (1.00-2.82)
980s	58/63127	33/56619	8.8	21.9	↓	1.49 (0.98-2.27)
990-2000	39/36907	32/33228	1.0	17.3		1.06 (0.66-1.70)
i) Period of follow–up (χ_1^2 = 7.5; p = 0	0.006)				
′ears 0−4	32/70416	31/66687	-0.4	15.5	_	0.97 (0.59-1.60)
∕ears 5−9	39/43507	29/39266	2.8	16.2		1.19 (0.73-1.93)
∕ears 10−14	36/27842	16/25144	7.5	12.3		- 1.84 (1.05-3.22)
′ears 15+	58/31832	24/31153	15.9	19.2		- 2.29 (1.46-3.58)
	165/	100/				
Total	173597	162251	25.8	63.1		18-1.93)
	(0.1%/y)	(0.1%/y)			þ=	0.001
				0.5	1.0	4.0

Lung cancer incidence (47 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to test for heterogeneity.

IMC=internal mammary chain.

Table S8. Unilateral breast cancer treated with radiotherapy: subsequent incidence of primary lung cancer, ipsilateral vs contralateral, by decade of breast cancer diagnosis and time since diagnosis, for women in the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Result (SEER) program.

	regional breast cancer h radiotherapy	Incidence of new primary lung cancer ipsilateral/contralateral to irradiated breas				
Decade of breast	Years since breast	Number of	Rate ratio			
cancer diagnosis	cancer diagnosis	lung cancers	(and 95% CI)			
1970s	<10 years	26/40	0.65 (0.40-1.07)			
19705	10-19 years	66/31	2.13 (1.39-3.26)			
	20+ years	68/27	2.52 (1.61-3.93)			
1980s	<10 years	107/112	0.96 (0.73-1.25)			
	10-19 years	160/99	1.62 (1.26-2.08)			
	20+ years	101/48	2.10 (1.49-2.97)			
1990s	<10 years	481/434	1.11 (0.97-1.26)			
	10-19 years	377/294	1.28 (1.10-1.49)			
	20+ years	22/10	2.20 (1.04-4.65)			
2000s	<10 years	1237/1232	1.00 (0.93-1.09)			
	10-14 years	128/96	1.33 (1.02-1.74)			
	15+ years	-	-			
1973-2013	<10 years	1851/1818	1.02 (0.95-1.09)			
	10-19 years	731/520	1.41 (1.26-1.57)			
	20+ years	191/85	2.25 (1.74-2.90)			

SEER public-use data on 393,338 women diagnosed from 1973 to 2013 with local or regional breast cancer of known laterality that were treated with radiotherapy. Follow-up for first new primary lung cancer, and its laterality, was to 1.1.2014. Rate ratios estimated using Poisson regression with stratification by calendar year of diagnosis, time since diagnosis, age (all in 5-year groups) and race (white, black, other/unknown).

Source of data:

Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program (www.seer.cancer.gov) Research Data (1973-2013), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Surveillance Systems Branch, released April 2016, based on the November 2015 submission.



Figure S8. Estimates of excess rate ratio per gray (ERR/Gy) for lung cancer in several epidemiological studies and the present study.

Sizes of the squares are directly proportional to the amount of information each study contains.

This above figure summarises available evidence, from 4 other studies and the current study, for the relationship between radiation dose and subsequent lung cancer. There is very little heterogeniety between the indivudal estimates of the ERR/Gy. The values shown here are for the overall ERR /Gy i.e. smokers and non-smokers together. The RRs per gray in observational studies are based on total events in: 282 ever smokers, 52 non-smokers (two studies included unknown status with non-smokers), and 47 of unknown smoking status. There are not enough events in non-smokers to reliably estimate the ERR/Gy for them separately. However, available evidence suggests that the ERR/Gy may be higher in smokers [Gilbert 2003, Grantzau 2014, Prochazka 2005]. There is no evidence that the dose-response relationship departs from linearity.

Since smoking status was not available, absolute risk estimates from the current study (figure 3) are based on the overall RR in smokers and non-smokers together. If in fact the ERR/Gy is higher in smokers than non-smokers, absolute risks due to radiotherapy in the smokers may be *underestimated*. In contrast absolute risks for non-smokers in the current study may be an *over-estimate* but even then their absolute risk would remain very small (estimate is ~ 0.3% absolute lung cancer mortality increase due to radiotherapy, see figure 3).

BCa=breast cancer, CaCo=case-control, Ca-only=case-only, HL=Hodgkin lymphoma, RaTr=randomised trial.

References

1. EBCTCG: the present study

2. Gilbert ES, Stovall M, Gospodarowicz M, et al. Lung cancer after treatment for Hodgkin's disease: Focus on radiation effects. *Radiat Res* 2003; 159: 161-73.

3. Grantzau T, Thomsen MS, Vaeth M, Overgaard J. Risk of second primary lung cancer in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. *Radioth Oncol* 2014; 111: 366-73.

4. Inskip PD, Stovall M, Flannery JT. Lung cancer risk and radiation dose among women treated for breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994; 86: 983-988.

5. Prochazka M, Hall P, Gagliardi G, at al. Ionizing radiation and tobacco use increases the risk of a subsequent lung carcinoma in women with breast cancer: Case-only design. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 7467-74.

Figure S9. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on lung cancer mortality (all years).

	Deaths/Woman-years		RT deaths			
Category	Allocated RT	Allocated No RT	Logrank O-E	Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual death rates RT : No RT	_ Rate Ratio (95% Cl)
a) Age at entry (years) ($x^2 = 0.8 \cdot n =$	0 4)				
50	37/66743	23/59335	6.8	13.8		1.64 (0.97-2.77)
0-59	48/58325	16/54225	12.2	15.0		
0-59	35/59126	26/56487	2.5	14.6		1.19 (0.71-1.98)
b) Nodal status ($\chi_1^2 = 2.2$						(,
•						
legative	53/104788	40/100863	6.5	22.9		1.33 (0.88-2.00)
ositive (or not known)	67/79405	25/69185	15.1	20.6		2.08 (1.35–3.21)
c) Breast cancer lateralit	-					
Right	58/70599	17/62585	14.0	16.7		- 2.31 (1.43-3.74)
eft Iska/Other	35/72726	32/67163	0.3	15.5		1.02 (0.62-1.68)
Inkn/Other	27/40869	16/40300	5.4	10.3		1.69 (0.92–3.11)
d) Radiotherapy modality	•					
obalt-60	36/72648	20/67632	6.1	12.6	_+	1.62 (0.93-2.82)
legavoltage X-rays	18/48137	17/42669	-0.6	8.6 <		0.93 (0.48-1.82)
Electrons Drthovoltage X-rays	19/19257 46/40972	8/16433 20/38785	4.0 11.5	6.5 15.7		
)ther/unknown	1/3179	0/4529	0.6	0.2		20.09 (0.25-1607.
	<u>^</u>					
e) Radiotherapy techniq						
Pirect IMC field	42/50827	16/47473	11.2	13.9		
angent Vide tengent	28/75378 49/54809	22/67669 27/50378	1.3 8.5	12.1 17.2		1.11 (0.63-1.96)
Vide tangent Dther/unknown	49/54809	0/4529	8.5 0.6	0.2		1.64 (1.02-2.63) 20.09 (0.25-1607.
f) RT contained an IMC f	$i = 10 (w^2 - 2)^2$	2· n = 0 1)				·
	•					
INC RT	27/69590	21/62390	1.3	11.6		1.12 (0.63-1.99)
MC RT	93/113073	44/106144	20.3	31.9		1.89 (1.34–2.67)
Jnknown	0/1531	0/1514				
g) Use of chemotherapy	$(\chi_1^2 = 3.8; p$	= 0.05)				
lo chemotherapy	94/152719	59/141615	13.0	35.9	∎-¦	1.44 (1.04–1.99)
Chemotherapy	26/31474	6/28433	8.6	7.5		→ 3.15 (1.54-6.44)
h) Use of tamoxifen (χ_1^2	= 2.2; p = 0.	1)				
lo tamoxifen	104/149025	50/137900	21.6	36.0		1.82 (1.31-2.53)
amoxifen	16/35169	15/32148	0.0	7.5	_	1.00 (0.49-2.05)
i) Year trial began (χ_1^2 =						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				~ .		
Sefore 1970	26/22091	9/24563	8.1	8.4		\rightarrow 2.62 (1.33-5.16)
970s 1980s	42/54228	21/49497	8.3	14.1		1.80 (1.07-3.04)
990-2000	41/63955 11/43919	22/56898 13/39090	6.7 -1.6	15.1 5.9 <		1.56 (0.94–2.58) 0.76 (0.34–1.71)
j) Period of follow-up (y ² = 11.4: p <	0.001)				
/ears 0-4	11/75550	14/71246	-1.7	6.1 <		0.76 (0.34-1.67)
/ears 5-9	21/48555	21/43470	-1.4	9.9<	_	0.87 (0.47-1.62)
/ears 10−14	29/30793	12/27444	7.4	9.8	│ ─── ┆ ■ ───	2.13 (1.14-3.98)
/ears 15+	59/29295	18/27888	17.3	17.7		
	120/	65/				
Total	184194	170047	21.5	43.4	164	(1.22-2.21)
17101	(0.1%/y)	(0.0%/y)	21.0	70.7	1.04	(1.22-2.21) p=0.001
	,			L		
				0.5	1.0	4.0

Lung cancer mortality (39 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to test for heterogeneity.

IMC=internal mammary chain.

Table S9: Estimated effect of typical 2010s radiotherapy regimens on mortality from lung cancer by age at irradiation and smoking history

Epidemiological estimates of the risks without radiotherapy are multiplied by the rate ratios attributed to 5 Gy whole-lung dose and 4 Gy whole-heart dose (see Methods S1 for methodology). Estimated risks calculated below are most applicable to an average female smoker in the USA or UK.

	Estimated lung cancer risk by age 80 (%					
	RT	No RT	Excess from RT			
Radiotherapy at age 50						
Never smoked, or						
stopped by age 30	0.8	0.5	0.3			
Stopped at age 40	1.8	1.2	0.6			
Stopped at age 50	3.9	2.6	1.3			
Continuing smoker	13.8	9.4	4.4			
Radiotherapy at age 60						
Never smoked, or						
stopped by age 30	0.6	0.4	0.2			
Stopped at age 40	1.5	1.1	0.4			
Stopped at age 50	3.4	2.4	1.0			
Stopped at age 60	6.0	4.4	1.6			
Continuing smoker	11.8	8.7	3.1			
Radiotherapy at age 70						
Any smoking history	Various	Various	Little excess by 80			

Figure S10. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on specified second cancer incidence (excluding contralateral breast and lung).

	Events/W	/oman-years	RT	events		
Category	Allocated	Allocated	Logran O-E	k Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual event rates RT : No RT	Rate Ratio (95% Cl)
						(00,001)
(a) Age at entry (years)) ($\chi_1^2 = 0.0; p =$	0.9)				
<50	196/63453	145/57827	21.8	78.3	│─┼┲───	1.32 (1.06-1.65)
50–59	228/54639	210/51138	2.4	97.8	 	1.02 (0.84-1.25)
60+	385/55505	306/53286	34.0	159.9		1.24 (1.06–1.44)
(b) Radiotherapy moda	ality (χ_3^2 = 3.8;	p = 0.3)				
Cobalt-60	347/68168	287/64452	22.8	137.5		1.18 (1.00-1.40)
Vegavoltage X−rays	178/42560	156/37640	3.4	79.8		1.04 (0.84-1.30)
Electrons	99/20007	80/17382	6.5	42.5		1.17 (0.86-1.57)
Orthovoltage X−rays	179/39715	128/38268	25.7	72.6	<u>+</u> ∎	1.42 (1.13-1.79)
Other/unknown	6/3147	10/4508	-0.1	3.6		0.97 (0.35-2.73)
c) Radiotherapy techr	nique ($\chi_2^2 = 0.3$; p=0.9)				
Direct IMC field	246/49296	200/46226	22.5	103.7		1.24 (1.02-1.51)
Fangent	310/67535	246/61178	19.8	134.6		1.16 (0.98-1.37)
Nide tangent	247/53619	205/50338	16.0	94.1		1.19 (0.97–1.45)
Other/unknown	6/3147	10/4508	-0.1	3.6		0.97 (0.35-2.73)
(d) Use of chemothera	py (χ_1^2 = 3.7; p	o = 0.05)				
No chemotherapy	694/142299		39.1	293.2		1.14 (1.02-1.28)
Chemotherapy	115/31297	74/28600	19.2	42.8		1.57 (1.16-2.11)
(e) Use of tamoxifen ($\chi_1^2 = 0.5; p = 0.$	5)				
No tamoxifen	617/140642		49.0	250.5		1.22 (1.07-1.38)
Tamoxifen	192/32955		9.2	85.4		1.11 (0.90–1.38)
f) Year trial began (χ	$^{2}_{1}$ = 0.1; p = 0.7)					
Before 1970	120/20869	114/23527	10.4	53.9	_ 	1.21 (0.93-1.58)
1970s	248/52693	190/48877	19.5	91.3	· · · · ·	1.24 (1.01-1.52)
1980s	253/63127	210/56619	15.5	109.7	+ e	1.15 (0.96-1.39)
1990-2000	188/36907	147/33228	12.8	81.1	+ -	1.17 (0.94-1.46
g) Period of follow-up	b ($\chi_1^2 = 0.4$; p =	0.6)				
/ears 0-4	300/70416	230/66687	31.8	124.4		1.29 (1.08-1.54)
/ears 5-9	210/43507	177/39266	7.4	91.0	+ ;	1.08 (0.88-1.33)
rears 10-14	131/27842	108/25144	7.7	54.2		1.15 (0.88-1.50)
Years 15+	168/31832		11.3	66.3	- •	1.19 (0.93–1.51)
	809/	661/				
Total	173597	162251	58.2	336.0	1.19 (1.07-	1.32)
19(4)	(0.5%/y)	(0.4%/y)	50.2		p = 0.001	
	(2	, - J/		L		
				0.5	1.0	2.5
					RT better	
				-	1	

Specified second cancer incidence, excluding contralateral breast and lung (58 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information.

IMC=internal mammary chain.



Years 5-9

2.7/19.5

0.14 (46/32317)

0.13 (35/27663)

1.15 (0.74-1.79)

Years 10-14

8.6/14.5

0.19 (43/22137)

0.11 (20/18726)

1.81 (1.08-3.03)

Years 15+

15.2/47.9

0.56 (130/23237)

0.44 (92/20730)

1.37 (1.03-1.82)

Heart disease mortality rates (%/year) and logrank analyses

Years 0-4

2.4/16.6

0.08 (38/47378)

0.07 (30/42758)

1.15 (0.71-1.87)

RT

No RT

(O-E)/V

Rate ratio, from



35



Figure S12. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy (RT) on heart disease mortality in the trials for women aged 60+ years at entry: absolute risk nowadays will be lower in populations with lower background rates.
Figure S13. Histogram of estimated mean heart doses for 29,985 women in trials in the present study which contributed to analyses of heart disease mortality. The dashed lines show the category cut-points at 4 Gy & 8 Gy used to create the dose categories in figures 2 and 3.



Note: Trials which either did not supply cause-specific mortality or recorded no heart disease deaths are excluded from the histogram.

Figure S14a. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on mortality from heart disease.

	Deaths/W	Deaths/Woman-years		leaths		
Category	Allocated RT	Allocated No RT	Logrank O-E	Variance of O-E	Ratio of annual death rates RT : No RT	Rate Ratio (95% CI)
(a) Age at entry (years)	$(\chi_1^2 = 0.5; p =$	0.5)				
<50 (6.4 Gy)	82/66743	48/59335	12.6	29.6		1.53 (1.07-2.19)
50-59 (6.2 Gy)	175/58325	140/54225	16.3	68.8	⊢	1.27 (1.00-1.61)
60+ (6.3 Gy)	448/59126	360/56487	42.7	175.2	│∰	1.28 (1.10–1.48)
(b) Primary tumour late	rality ($\chi_1^2 = 1.7$	7; p=0.2)				
Right (3.5 Gy)	259/70599	200/62585	19.2	99.9		1.21 (1.00-1.47)
Left (8.7 Gy)	297/72726	193/67163	40.1	107.0	│ ┼╋──	1.45 (1.20-1.76)
Unkn/Other (6.7 Gy)	149/40869	155/40300	8.6	58.3		1.16 (0.90-1.50)
(c) Mean heart dose (Gy	/)† (p < 0.00	01)				
<4 (2.1 Gy)	149/55961	125/51048	4.4	59.4	_	1.08 (0.84-1.39)
4-8 (5.8 Gy)	227/50001	178/45134	19.9	90.4	 −−− ∎ ¦−−−	1.25 (1.01-1.53)
8+ (12.6 Gy)	327/44015	245/42886	44.1	119.5		1.45 (1.21–1.73)
Unk. (av)	2/34216	0/30980				
(d) Type of surgery (χ_1^2	² = 2.2; p = 0.1)				
Mastectomy (8.5 Gy)	549/93519	414/86563	64.2	204.9	│ _;;;;;	1.37 (1.19–1.57)
BCS (3.8 Gy)	156/90675	134/83485	7.3	68.7		1.11 (0.88–1.41)
(e) Use of chemotherap	v ($\chi_1^2 = 0.2$; p) = 0.7)				
No chemotherapy (6.5 Gy)	644/152719		64.2	252.5		1.29 (1.14-1.46)
Chemotherapy (5.3 Gy)	61/31474	41/28433	7.3	202.0		1.42 (0.92-2.17)
(f) Use of tamoxifen (χ						
	655/149025 ؛		60 E	252 F	,	1 21 (1 16-1 49)
No tamoxifen (6.7 Gy)		42/32148	68.5 3.0	252.5		1.31 (1.16-1.48)
Tamoxifen (3.8 Gy)	50/35169		3.0	21.0		1.15 (0.75–1.77)
(g) Year trial began (χ_1^2	² = 1.0; p = 0.3)				1	
Before 1970 (7.9 Gy)	181/22091	164/24563	23.1	69.7		1.39 (1.10–1.76)
1970s (10.1 Gy)	323/54228	226/49497	35.4	120.1		1.34 (1.12–1.61)
1980s (3.8 Gy)	157/63955	125/56898	8.5	65.3		1.14 (0.89-1.45)
1990–2000 (3.8 Gy)	44/43919	33/39090	4.4	18.5		1.27 (0.80-2.00)
(h) Period of follow-up	(χ ₁ ² = 0.2; p =	0.7)				
Years 0-4 (6.3 Gy)	149/75550	103/71246	20.6	60.6		1.40 (1.09-1.81)
Years 5-9 (6.2 Gy)	160/48555	137/43470	7.1	66.4		1.11 (0.87-1.42)
Years 10-14 (6.3 Gy)	140/30793	112/27444	11.8	54.5		1.24 (0.95-1.62)
Years 15+ (6.7 Gy)	256/29295	196/27888	32.0	92.1		1.42 (1.15–1.74)
	705/	548/				
Total	184194	170047	71.6	273.6		5 -1.46)
	(0.4%/y)	(0.3%/y)				
				0.5	1.0	 2.5
					RT better RT worse	2.0
					1	

Heart disease mortality (45 trials)

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to test for heterogeneity.

⁺ Test for trend through zero effect at zero dose.

Figure S14b. Effect of allocation to radiotherapy on heart disease mortality by different dose measures to various cardiac organs at risk.

	Deaths/Woman-years		RT deaths			
Category	Allocated Alloca		d Logrank	Variance	Ratio of annual death rates	Rate Ratio
	RT N	No RT	О́-Е	of O-E	RT : No RT	(95% CI)
(a) Mean heart dose (Gy) (χ ₁ ² = 3.6; p	= 0.06)				
<4 (2.1)	149/55961	125/51048	4.4	59.4		1.08 (0.84-1.3
4-8 (5.8)	227/50001	178/45134	19.9	90.4	∎	1.25 (1.01-1.5
8+ (12.6)	327/44015	245/42886	44.1	119.5		1.45 (1.21-1.73
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				-
(b) Mean LAD dose (Gy) (α ² = 1.5; p	= 0.2)				
<4 (1.6)	260/63905	212/58526	15.9	102.6	- <u>+</u> ∎-+	1.17 (0.96-1.42
4-8 (5.7)	0/69	0/88			l i	
8+ (21.4)	443/86004	336/80453	50.3	163.5	│ ─¦ॖॖॖॖॖॖ	1.36 (1.17-1.59
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(c) Mean right coronary arte	ery dose (Gy) ($\chi_1^2 = 2$	2.7; p = ().1)		
<4 (2.1)	162/59449	130/54638	8.7	68.2	_ ______	1.14 (0.90-1.44
4-8 (5.5)	117/31476	88/27525	4.0	38.9		1.11 (0.81-1.52
8+ (15.2)	424/59053	330/56905	56.0	164.5		1.41 (1.21-1.64
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(d) Mean Circumflex dose (Gy) (χ_1^2 =	2.9; p = 0.0)9)			
<4 (1.9)	431/113129	327/102754	34.0	166.8		1.23 (1.05-1.43
4-8 (6.1)		118/14564	4.7	40.4		1.12 (0.83-1.5
8+ (13.1)	168/21815	103/21749	30.0	60.7		1.64 (1.27-2.1
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(e) Mean heart EDQ2 dose ((Gy) (χ_1^2 =	= 7.7; p = 0.	005)			
<4 (2.0)	218/78548	185/70729	6.6	90.5		1.08 (0.88-1.32
4-8 (6.0)	285/44264		24.9	106.3		1.26 (1.05-1.53
8+ (12.1)	200/27166	123/26861	37.1	71.7	!	1.68 (1.33-2.11
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(f) Mean LAD EDQ2 dose (G	Sy) ($\chi_1^2 = 2$	2.0; p = 0.2))			
<4 (0.9)	260/63974	212/58614	15.9	102.6	↓_₩	1.17 (0.96-1.42
4-8 (7.4)	59/10984	57/9884	1.5	18.0	_	1.09 (0.68-1.73
8+ (22.3)	384/75020	279/70569	48.8	145.5		1.40 (1.19-1.6
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(g) Mean right coronary ED	Q2 dose (Gy) ($\chi_1^2 = 0$).1; p = ().7)		
<4 (1.5)	219/76752	167/69366	11.3	86.7	→ ∎ +	1.14 (0.92–1.4 ⁻
4-8 (6.1)	185/34647	122/33503	32.0	64.3	│	1.64 (1.29-2.10
8+ (15.3)	299/38579	259/36198	24.3	118.5	│ ₩ ¦	1.23 (1.03-1.47
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
(h) Mean Circumflex EDQ2	dose (Gy)	(χ ₁ ² = 4.9;	p = 0.03)		
<4 (1.4)	520/124148	430/113847	38.4	201.7		1.21 (1.05-1.39
4-8 (6.3)	54/14592	45/14613	8.3	21.6	↓ ↓ 	1.47 (0.96-2.24
8+ (15.4)	129/11238	73/10608	24.3	44.7		1.72 (1.28–2.3 [,]
Unk. (.)	2/34216	0/30980				
	705/	548/				
Total	184193	170048	68.4	269.3		-1.45)
	(0.4%/y)	(0.3%/y)			p`= 0.000	03
				0.5	1.0	2.5

Heart disease mortality (45 trials)

Sizes of the squares are directly proportional to the amount of information each category level contains.

The average mean dose is shown for each category level. The average of left and right dose was used for women with unknown laterality. LAD=Left anterior descending coronary artery, EQD2=Equivalent dose in 2 gray fractions.

Figure S14c. Heart disease mortality rate ratio (RR) by trial-specific mean radiation dose, converted to equivalent mean dose in 2 Gy fractions, to the heart

The line was estimated using doses for individual women. Squares (with areas proportional to information content) show EQD2 dose categories <4, 4-8, and 8+ Gy, with mean doses 2.0, 6.0, and 12.1 EQD2 Gy.



Figure S15. Estimated effects among 60-year-old smokers and non-smokers of typical 2010s radiotherapy regimens on mortality from (a) lung cancer and (b) ischaemic heart disease (IHD)

Epidemiological estimates of the risks without radiotherapy are multiplied by the rate ratios attributed to 5 Gy whole-lung dose and 4 Gy whole-heart dose (see Methods S1 for methodology).



Methods S2. Systematic review of heart and lung doses from breast cancer radiotherapy, 2010-2015

Study identification

Embase and SCOPUS databases were searched to identify publications with the following terms in the title or abstract: dos* AND breast* AND cancer*/carcinom*/tumor*/tumour* AND radiation/radiotherap*. Reference sections of relevant publications were scanned to identify further studies.

Study eligibility criteria

Studies were identified using PRISMA guidelines [PRISMA statement]. Studies published between 1/1/2010-12/31/2015 and reporting whole heart dose (i.e., dose averaged over the whole heart) and/or mean total lung dose, mean ipsilateral lung dose, or mean contralateral lung dose for specific regimens were eligible. Eligibility was not affected by whether the radiotherapy plans were subsequently delivered to patients. Studies reporting heart or lung doses from tumour bed boost radiotherapy alone were excluded.

Data collation

For each regimen in each eligible study, the following quantities were abstracted if available: mean heart dose, mean ipsilateral lung dose, mean combined lung dose (ipsilateral and contralateral lungs together).

Calculation of typical 2010s heart dose (see Taylor 2015 for details of methodology)

The typical 2010s heart dose from left breast cancer radiotherapy was calculated as follows. For each regimen, the mean whole heart dose was abstracted i.e. the arithmetic mean of the whole heart doses for the CT plans used for the regimen. Mean whole heart dose was reported for 525 left radiotherapy regimens, and the average of these 525 doses was 5.2 Gy (IQR 1.9-7.4).

The typical 2010s heart dose from right-sided regimens was calculated in the same way. Mean whole heart dose was reported in 86 right breast cancer regimens, and the average of these 86 heart doses was 3.7 Gy (IQR 1.2-5.0).

The typical heart doses from left-sided (5.2 Gy) and right-sided (3.7 Gy) radiotherapy were themselves averaged to give <u>4.4 Gy typical heart dose</u> from 2010s breast cancer radiotherapy assuming approximately equal numbers of women are irradiated for left and right-sided breast cancer.

Calculation of typical 2010s combined lung dose

Whole ipsilateral lung dose was reported for 471 regimens, and the average of these 471 doses was 9.0 Gy (IQR 5.5-12.6). Whole contralateral lung dose was reported for 219 regimens. The average of these 219 doses was 2.4 Gy (IQR 0.4-3.8). Typical ipsilateral and contralateral lung doses were averaged to calculate <u>5.7 Gy typical combined lung</u> <u>dose</u> for 2010s breast cancer radiotherapy.

Finally, the 104 regimens that reported only total combined lung dose (rather than ipsilateral and contralateral lungs separately) were considered. Coincidentally, in these regimens, the combined lung dose was also 5.7 Gy.

A similar calculation based on publications between 1/1/2010-1/6/2015 i.e. excluding the final 6 months of year 2015, yielded 4.3 Gy typical 2010s heart dose and 5.3 Gy typical 2010s combined lung dose. Hence the values of typical 2010s doses vary slightly according to the time period included.

The typical 2010s heart and combined lung doses were rounded to 4 Gy heart dose and 5 Gy whole lung dose and used to estimate the absolute hazards of typical 2010s breast cancer radiotherapy (figure 3).

References

http://www.prisma-statement.org/. Accessed 2.7.15

Taylor CW, Wang Z, Macaulay E, Jagsi R, Duane F, Darby SC. Exposure of the heart in breast cancer radiotherapy: A systematic review of heart doses published during 2003-2013. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2015; **93**: 845-53.

The process of study identification for the review



Reference S1. Studies reporting mean lung or heart doses from breast cancer radiotherapy published 2010-15.

Aaron J, Sasidharan B, Ebenezer S, Thangakunam B, Antonisamy B, Selvamani B. Impact of breathing on postmastectomy radiotherapy: A dosimetric comparison between intensity-modulated radiotherapy and 3D tangential radiotherapy. J Radiother Pract 2014;14:126-34.

Abo-Madyan Y, Aziz MH, Aly MMOM, et al. Second cancer risk after 3D-CRT, IMRT and VMAT for breast cancer. Radiother Oncol 2014;110:471-6.

Adam D, Suditu MD, Popa R, Ciocaltei V. Volumetric-modulated arc therapy vs. 3D-conformal radiotherapy for breast cancer. Rom Rep Phys 2015;67:978-86.

Alco G, Igdem SI, Ercan T, et al. Coverage of axillary lymph nodes with high tangential fields in breast radiotherapy. Br J Radiolol 2010;83:1072-6.

Al-Rahbi ZS, Al Mandhari Z, Ravichandran R, et al. Dosimetric comparison of intensity modulated radiotherapy isocentric field plans and field in field (FIF) forward plans in the treatment of breast cancer. J Med Phys 2013;38:22-9.

Aly MMOM, Glatting G, Jahnke L, Wenz F, Abo-Madyan Y. Comparison of breast simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) radiotherapy techniques. Radiat Oncol 2015;10:139.

Anbumani S, Palled SR, Prabhakar GS, Nambiraj NA, Pichandi A. Accelerated partial breast irradiation using external beam radiotherapy-A feasibility study based on dosimetric analysis. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 2012;17:200-6.

Arenas M, Hernandez V, Farrus B, et al. Do breast cups improve breast cancer dosimetry? A comparative study for patients with large or pendulous breasts. Acta Oncol 2014;53:795-801.

Ares C, Khan S, Macartain AM, et al. Postoperative Proton Radiotherapy for Localized and Locoregional Breast Cancer: Potential for Clinically Relevant Improvements? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:685-97.

Aznar MC, Korreman SS, Pedersen AN, Persson GF, Josipovic M, Specht L. Evaluation of dose to cardiac structures during breast irradiation. Br J Radiol 2011;84:743-6.

Badakhshi H, Kaul D, Nadobny J, Wille B, Sehouli J, Budach V. Image-guided volumetric modulated arc therapy for breast cancer: a feasibility study and plan comparison with three-dimensional conformal and intensity-modulated radiotherapy. Br J Radiolol 2013;86:20130515.

Banaei A, Hashemi B, Bakhshandeh M. Comparing the monoisocentric and dual isocentric techniques in chest wall radiotherapy of mastectomy patients. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2015;16:130-8.

Barsoum M, Mostafa M, El Hossieny H, Nasr A, Mahmoud M, Fouda S. Dosimetric prospective study comparing 2D and 3D planning for irradiation of supraclavicular and infraclavicular regions in breast cancer patients. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst 2015;27:25-34.

Bartlett FR, Colgan RM, Donovan EM, McNair HA, Carr K, Evans PM, et al. The UK HeartSpare Study (Stage IB): Randomised comparison of a voluntary breath-hold technique and prone radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery. Radiother Oncol 2014;114:66-72.

Bartlett FR, Yarnold JR, Donovan EM, Evans PM, Locke I, Kirby AM. Multileaf collimation cardiac shielding in breast radiotherapy: Cardiac doses are reduced, but at what cost? Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2013;25:690-6.

Belkacemi Y, Bigorie V, Pan Q, et al. Breast Radiotherapy (RT) Using Tangential Fields (TgF): A Prospective Evaluation of the Dose Distribution in the Sentinel Lymph Node (SLN) Area as Determined Intraoperatively by Clip Placement. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:3758-65.

Bodacs I, Polgar C, Major T. Dosimetric comparison of external partial breast irradiation with whole breast irradiation and partial breast brachytherapy. Magy Onkol 2014;58:108-15.

Bolukbasi Y, Saglam Y, Selek U, et al. Reproducible deep-inspiration breath-hold irradiation with forward intensity-modulated radiotherapy for left-sided breast cancer significantly reduces cardiac radiation exposure compared to inverse intensity-modulated radiotherapy. Tumori 2014;100:169-78.

Borca VC, Franco P, Catuzzo P, et al. Does TomoDirect 3DCRT represent a suitable option for post-operative whole breast irradiation? A hypothesis-generating pilot study. Radiat Oncol 2012;7:211.

Borges C, Cunha G, Monteiro-Grillo I, Vaz P, Teixeira N. Comparison of different breast planning techniques and algorithms for radiation therapy treatment. Physica Medica 2014;30:160-70.

Borst GR, Sonke JJ, Hollander SD, et al. Clinical Results of Image-Guided Deep Inspiration Breath Hold Breast Irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;78:1345-51.

Bourgier C, Pichenot C, Verstraet R, et al. Early Side Effects of Three-Dimensional Conformal External Beam Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation to a Total Dose of 40 Gy in One Week (a Phase II Trial). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;81:1228-35.

Brenner DJ, Shuryak I, Jozsef G, DeWyngaert KJ, Formenti SC. Risk and risk reduction of major coronary events associated with contemporary breast radiotherapy. JAMA Int Med 2014;174:158-60.

Bruzzaniti V, Abate A, Pinnaro P, et al. Dosimetric and clinical advantages of deep inspiration breath-hold (DIBH) during radiotherapy of breast cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2013;32:88.

Cammarota F, Giugliano FM, Iadanza L, Cutillo L, Muto M, Toledo D, et al. Hypofractionated breast cancer radiotherapy. Helical tomotherapy in supine position or classic 3DConformal radiotherapy in prone position: Which is better? Anticancer Res 2014;34:1233-8.

Cao L, Hu WG, Kirova YM, Yang ZZ, Cai G, Yu XL, et al. Potential impact of cardiac dose-volume on acute cardiac toxicity following concurrent trastuzumab and radiotherapy. Cancer Radiother 2014;18:119-24.

Capezzali G, Kirova YM, Costa E, et al. Left Breast Cancer Treated in Isocentric Lateral Decubitus (ILD) Position: An Alternative Technique Sparing Organs at Risk (OAR). Global J Breast Can Res 2013;1:53-7.

Catli S, Demircioglu F, Kilic D, Erbas G. The effect of whole breast irradiation with coplanar three field technique in breast cancer patients onto hearth and LAD doses. Turk Onkoloji Dergisi. 2014;29:148-56.

Caudrelier JM, Meng J, Esche B, Grimard L, Ruddy T, Amjadi K. IMRT sparing of normal tissues in locoregional treatment of breast cancer. Radiat Oncol 2014;9:1.

Cendales R, Vasquez J, Arbelaez JC, et al. Intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) with simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) in a patient with left breast cancer and pectus excavatum. Clin Transl Oncol J 2012;14:747-54.

Chargari C, Castadot P, Macdermed D, et al. Internal mammary lymph node irradiation contributes to heart dose in breast cancer. Med Dosim 2010;35:163-8.

Chamunyonga C. The impact of inter-fraction set-up errors on the probability of pulmonary and cardiac complication in left-sided breast cancer patients. J Radiother Pract 2014;13:393-402.

Chan TY, Tan PW, Tan CW, Tang JI. Assessing radiation exposure of the left anterior descending artery, heart and lung in patients with left breast cancer: A dosimetric comparison between multicatheter accelerated partial breast irradiation and whole breast external beam radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 2015;117:459-466.

Chen JL, Cheng JC, Kuo SH, Chan HM, Huang YS, Chen YH. Prone breast forward intensity-modulated radiotherapy for Asian women with early left breast cancer: factors for cardiac sparing and clinical outcomes. J Radiat Res 2013;54:899-908.

Chi F, Wu S, Zhou J, et al. Dosimetric comparison of moderate deep inspiration breath-hold and free-breathing intensity-modulated radiotherapy for left-sided breast cancer. Cancer Radiother 2015;19:180-6.

Chung E, Corbett JR, Moran JM, et al. Is There a Dose-Response Relationship for Heart Disease With Low-Dose Radiation Therapy? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;85:959-64.

Chung MJ, Kim SH, Lee JH, Suh YJ. A dosimetric comparative analysis of TomoDirect and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy in early breast cancer. J Breast Cancer 2015;18:57-62.

Chung Y, Kim JW, Shin KH, et al. Dummy run of quality assurance program in a phase 3 randomized trial investigating the role of internal mammary lymph node irradiation in breast cancer patients: Korean Radiation Oncology Group 08-06 study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2015;91:419-26.

Cilla S, Digesu C, Macchia G, et al. Clinical implications of different calculation algorithms in breast radiotherapy: A comparison between pencil beam and collapsed cone convolution. Physica Medica 2014;30:473-81.

Cilla S, Kigula-Mugambe J, Digesu C, et al. Forward-planned intensity modulated radiation therapy using a cobalt source: A dosimetric study in breast cancer. J Med Phys 2013;38:125-31.

Comsa D. Introduction of moderate deep inspiration breath hold for radiation therapy of left breast: Initial experience of a regional cancer center. Pract Radiat Oncol 2014;4:298-305.

Cuaron JJ, Chon B, Tsai H, Goenka A, Deblois D, Ho A, et al. Early toxicity in patients treated with postoperative proton therapy for locally advanced breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2015;92:284-91.

Damkjaer SM, Aznar MC, Pedersen AN, Vogelius IR, Bangsgaard JP, Josipovic M. Reduced lung dose and improved inspiration level reproducibility in visually guided DIBH compared to audio coached EIG radiotherapy for breast cancer patients. Acta Oncol 2013;52:1458-63.

de Almeida CE, Fournier-Bidoz N, Massabeau C, et al. Potential benefits of using cardiac gated images to reduce the dose to the left anterior descending coronary during radiotherapy of left breast and internal mammary nodes. Cancer Radiother 2011;16:44-51

Dinclogan F, Beyzadeoqlu M, Sager O, et al. Dosimetric evaluation of critical organs at risk in mastectomized leftsided breast cancer radiotherapy using breath hold techniques. Tumori 2013;99:76-82. Dogan MH, Zincircioglu SB, Aydinol M. Research on different techniques in breast cancer radiotherapy. Współczesna Onkologia 2013;17:291-7.

Donovan EM, Brooks C, Mitchell RA, et al. The effect of image guidance on dose distributions in breast boost radiotherapy. Clin Oncol 2014;26:671-6.

Ducournau A, Lagarde P, Henriques de Figueiredo B, et al. Post-surgery radiation therapy for a patient with osteogenesis imperfecta: About one case. Cancer Radiother 2014;18:132-5.

Edvardsson A, Nilsson MP, Amptoulach S, Ceberg S. Comparison of doses and NTCP to risk organs with enhanced inspiration gating and free breathing for left-sided breast cancer radiotherapy using the AAA algorithm. Radiat Oncol 2015;10:84.

Ekambaram V, Velayudham R, Swaminathan S, Loganathan P, Swaminathan V. Planning aspects of volumetric modulated arc therapy and intensity modulated radio therapy in carcinoma left breast - A comparative study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015;16:1633-6.

Eldredge-Hindy H, Lockamy V, Crawford A, et al. Active Breathing Coordinator reduces radiation dose to the heart and preserves local control in patients with left breast cancer: Report of a prospective trial. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015;5:4-10.

Ercan T, Igdem S, Alco G, et al. Dosimetric comparison of field in field intensity-modulated radiotherapy technique with conformal radiotherapy techniques in breast cancer. Jpn J Radiol 2010;28:283-9.

Erven K, Florian A, Slagmolen P, Sweldens C, Jurcut R, Wildiers H, et al. Subclinical Cardiotoxicity Detected by Strain Rate Imaging up to 14 months After Breast Radiation Therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;85:1172-8.

Erven K, Petillion S, Weltens C, et al. Conformal locoregional breast irradiation with an oblique parasternal photon field technique. Med Dosim 2011;36:28-34.

Essers M, Osman SOS, Hol S, Donkers T, Poortmans PM. Accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI): Are breathhold and volumetric radiation therapy techniques useful? Acta Oncol 2014;53:788-94.

Evans SB, Sioshansi S, Moran MS, Hiatt J, Price LL, Wazer DE. Prevalence of poor cardiac anatomy in carcinoma of the breast treated with whole-breast radiotherapy: reconciling modern cardiac dosimetry with cardiac mortality data. J Clin Oncol 2012;35:587-92.

Fan L, Luo Y, Xu J, He L, Wang J, Du X. A dosimetry study precisely outlining the heart substructure of left breast cancer patients using intensity-modulated radiation therapy. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2014;15:4624.

Farace P, Zucca S, Solla I, et al. Planning hybrid intensity modulated radiation therapy for whole-breast irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;84:115-122.

Flejmer AM, Dohlmar F, Nilsson M, Stenmarker M, Dasu A. Analytical anisotropic algorithm versus pencil beam convolution for treatment planning of breast cancer: Implications for target coverage and radiation burden of normal tissue. Anticancer Res 2015;35:2841-8

Flejmer AM, Josefsson D, Nilsson M, Stenmarker M, Dasu A. Clinical implications of the ISC technique for breast cancer radiotherapy and comparison with clinical recommendations. Anticancer Res 2014;34:3563-8.

Fernandez-Lizarbe E, Montero A, Polo A, et al. Pilot study of feasibility and dosimetric comparison of prone versus supine breast radiotherapy. Clin Transl Oncol 2013;15:450-9.

Franco P, Zeverino M, Migliaccio F, et al. Intensity-modulated adjuvant whole breast radiation delivered with static angle tomotherapy (TomoDirect): A prospective case series. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2013;139:1927-36.

Gauer T, Engel K, Kiesel A, Albers D, Rades D. Comparison of electron IMRT to helical photon IMRT and conventional photon irradiation for treatment of breast and chest wall tumours. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:313-18.

Giraud P, Djadi-Prat J, Morelle M, et al. Contribution of respiratory gating techniques for optimization of breast cancer radiotherapy. Cancer Invest 2012;30:323-30.

Graham P. Cardiac dosimetry for adjuvant left-sided breast radiotherapy: Patterns with 2D- versus 3D-era planning and correlates of coronary dose with maximum depth of myocardial exposure. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2014;58:517-22.

Guan H, Dong YL, Ding LJ, et al. Morphological factors and cardiac doses in whole breast radiation for left-sided breast cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015;16:2889-94.

Guenzi M, Bosetti D, Lamanna G, et al. Novel 10-fraction breast irradiation in prone and supine position: Technical, dosimetric and clinical evaluation. Tumori 2015;101:154-60.

Guilbert P, Gaillot-Petit N, Vieren L, Nguyen TD. Conventional 2D and monoisocentric 3D techniques in breast and lymphatic irradiation: a dosimetric comparison. Cancer Radiother 2012;16:473-8.

Gultekin M, Karabuga M, Yildiz F, et al. Comparison of chest wall and lymphatic radiotherapy techniques in patients with left breast carcinoma. J Breast Health 2014;10:106-10.

Gursel B, Meydan D, Ozbek N, Ofluoglu T. Dosimetric comparison of three different external beam whole breast irradiation techniques. Adv Ther 2011;28:1114-25.

Haciislamoglu E, Colak F, Canyilmaz E, et al. Dosimetric comparison of left-sided whole-breast irradiation with 3DCRT, forward-planned IMRT, inverse-planned IMRT, helical tomotherapy, and volumetric arc therapy. Physica Medica 2015;31:360-7.

Hashimoto H, Omura M, Matsui K, et al. Tangent field technique of TomoDirect improves dose distribution for whole-breast irradiation. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2015;16:225-32.

Hayden AJ, Rains M, Tiver K. Deep inspiration breath hold technique reduces heart dose from radiotherapy for left-sided breast cancer. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2012;56:464-72.

He ZY, Chi F, Li FY, Wang JJ, Wu SG, Guan XX. Dosimetry of moderate deep inspiration breath hold achieved using an active breathing control device in the target of forward whole-breast intensity-modulated radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery in breast cancer. Chin J Cancer Prev Treat 2011;18:1273-6.

He Z, Wu S, Zhou J, et al. Accelerated partial breast irradiation with intensity-modulated radiotherapy is feasible for Chinese breast cancer patients. J Breast Cancer 2014;17:256-64.

Henzen D, Manser P, Frei D, et al. Beamlet based direct aperture optimization for MERT using a photon MLC. Med Phys 2014;41:121711-1-10.

Hepp R, Ammerpohl M, Morgenstern C, Nielinger L, Erichsen P, Abdallah A, et al. Deep inspiration breath-hold (DIBH) radiotherapy in left-sided breast cancer: Dosimetrical comparison and clinical feasibility in 20 patients. Strahlenther Onkol 2015;191:710-6.

Heymann S, Verstraet R, Pichenot C, et al. Intensity modulation in breast radiotherapy: development of an innovative field-in-field technique at Institut Gustave-Roussy. Cancer Radiother 2011;15:663-9.

Hijal T, Fournier-Bidoz N, Castro-Pena P, et al. Simultaneous integrated boost in breast conserving treatment of breast cancer: A dosimetric comparison of helical tomotherapy and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:300-6.

Hjelstuen MH, Mjaaland I, Vikstrom J, Dybvik KI. Radiation during deep inspiration allows loco-regional treatment of left breast and axillary-, supraclavicular- and internal mammary lymph nodes without compromising target coverage or dose restrictions to organs at risk. Acta Oncol 2011; 51: 333-44.

Jagsi R, Moran J, Marsh R, Masi K, Griffith KA, Pierce LJ. Evaluation of Four Techniques Using Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy for Comprehensive Locoregional Irradiation of Breast Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;78:1594-603.

Jin GH, Chen LX, Deng XW, Liu XW, Huang Y, Huang XB. A comparative dosimetric study for treating left-sided breast cancer for small breast size using five different radiotherapy techniques: conventional tangential field, filed-in-filed, Tangential-IMRT, Multi-beam IMRT and VMAT. Radiat Oncol 2013;8:89.

Johansen S, Tjessem KH, Fossa K, et al. Dose Distribution in the Heart and Cardiac Chambers Following 4-field Radiation Therapy of Breast Cancer: a Retrospective Study. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 2013;7:41-9.

Johansen S, Vikstrom J, Hjelstuen MH, Mjaaland I, Dybvik KI, Olsen DR. Dose evaluation and risk estimation for secondary cancer in contralateral breast and a study of correlation between thorax shape and dose to organs at risk following tangentially breast irradiation during deep inspiration breath-hold and free breathing. Acta Oncol 2011;50:563-8.

Jones R, Libby B, Showalter SL, et al. Dosimetric comparison of 192Ir high-dose-rate brachytherapy vs. 50kV xrays as techniques for breast intraoperative radiation therapy: Conceptual development of image-guided intraoperative brachytherapy using a multilumen balloon applicator and in-room CT imaging. Brachytherapy 2014;13:502-7.

Joosten A, Matzinger O, Jeanneret-Sozzi W, Bochud F, Moeckli R. Evaluation of organ-specific peripheral doses after 2-dimensional, 3-dimensional and hybrid intensity modulated radiation therapy for breast cancer based on Monte Carlo and convolution/superposition algorithms: implications for secondary cancer risk assessment. Radiother Oncol 2013;106:33-41.

Jost V, Kretschmer M, Sabatino M, et al. Heart dose reduction in breast cancer treatment with simultaneous integrated boost: Comparison of treatment planning and dosimetry for a novel hybrid technique and 3D-CRT. Strahlenther Onkol 2015;191:734-41.

Kainz K, White J, Chen GP, Hermand J, Li XA. Simultaneous irradiation of the breast and regional lymph nodes in prone position using helical tomotherapy. Br J Radiol 2012;85:899-905.

Khan F, Craft D. Three-dimensional conformal planning with low-segment multicriteria intensity modulated radiation therapy optimization. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015;5:e103-11.

Kim H, Bae H, Lee MY, et al. Analysis of predictive factors for lung injury after forward-planned intensitymodulated radiotherapy in whole breast irradiation. J Breast Cancer 2014;17:69-75.

Kirby AM, Evans PM, Donovan EM, Convery HM, Haviland JS, Yarnold JR. Prone versus supine positioning for whole and partial-breast radiotherapy: A comparison of non-target tissue dosimetry. Radiother Oncol 2010;96:178-84.

Kirova YM, Hijal T, Campana F, et al. Whole breast radiotherapy in the lateral decubitus position: A dosimetric and clinical solution to decrease the doses to the organs at risk (OAR). Radiother Oncol 2013;110:477-81.

Koh V, Tang JI, Choo BA, et al. Body mass index and patient CT measurements as a predictor of benefit of intensity-modulated radiotherapy to the supraclavicular fossa. Onco Targets Ther 2013;6:1701-6.

Koutcher L, Ballangrud A, Cordeiro PG, et al. Postmastectomy intensity modulated radiation therapy following immediate expander-implant reconstruction. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:319-323.

Krengli M, Masini L, Caltavuturo T, et al. Prone versus supine position for adjuvant breast radiotherapy: A prospective study in patients with pendulous breasts. Radiat Oncol 2013;8:232.

Lamberth F, Guilbert P, Gaillot-Petit N, Champagne C, Looten-Vieren L, Nguyen TD. Potential indications for helical tomotherapy in breast cancers. Cancer Radiother 2014;18:7-14.

Lakosi F, Gulyban A, Janvary L, et al. Respiratory Motion, Anterior Heart Displacement and Heart Dosimetry: Comparison Between Prone (Pr) and Supine (Su) Whole Breast Irradiation. Pathol Oncol Res 2015;21:1051-8.

Lee HY, Chang JS, Lee IJ, et al. The deep inspiration breath hold technique using Abches reduces cardiac dose in patients undergoing left-sided breast irradiation. Radiat Oncol 2013;31:239.

Lee JA, Yoon WS, Chung SY, et al. Can intensity-modulated radiation therapy spare the central flapped area while encompassing the target volume in radiotherapy after immediate breast reconstruction? J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2013;57:595-602.

Li R, Xing L, Horst KC, Bush K. Nonisocentric treatment strategy for breast radiation therapy: A proof of concept study. . Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;88:920-6.

Liljegren A, Unukovych D, Gagliardi G, et al. No difference in dose distribution in organs at risk in postmastectomy radiotherapy with or without breast implant reconstruction. Radiat Oncol 2014;9:14.

Lin JF, Yeh DC, Yeh HL, Chang CF, Lin JC. Dosimetric comparison of hybrid volumetric-modulated arc therapy, volumetric-modulated arc therapy, and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for left-sided early breast cancer. Med Dosim 2015;40:262-7.

Lin LL, Vennarini S, Dimofte A, et al. Proton beam versus photon beam dose to the heart and left anterior descending artery for left-sided breast cancer. Acta Oncol 2015;54:1032-9.

Liu ZM, Ge XL, Chen JY, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy after breast conserving treatment for breast cancer: A dosimetric comparison between volumetric modulated arc therapy and intensity modulated radiotherapy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015;16:3257-65.

Page 7 of 16

Lin Y, Wang B. Dosimetric absorption of intensity-modulated radiotherapy compared with conventional radiotherapy in breast-conserving surgery. Oncol Lett 2015;9:9-14.

Lorenzen EL, Ewertz M, Brink C. Automatic segmentation of the heart in radiotherapy for breast cancer. Acta Oncol 2014;53:1366-72.

Lymberis SC, deWyngaert JK, Parhar P, et al. Prospective assessment of optimal individual position (prone versus supine) for breast radiotherapy: volumetric and dosimetric correlations in 100 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;84:902-9.

Ma J, Li J, Xie J, et al. Post mastectomy linac IMRT irradiation of chest wall and regional nodes: dosimetry data and acute toxicities. Radiat Oncol 2013;8:81.

Ma C, Zhang W, Lu J, et al. Dosimetric Comparison and Evaluation of Three Radiotherapy Techniques for Use after Modified Radical Mastectomy for Locally Advanced Left-sided Breast Cancer. Sci Rep 2015;5:12274.

MacDonald SM, Patel SA, Hickey S, et al. Proton therapy for breast cancer after mastectomy: early outcomes of a prospective clinical trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;86:484-90.

McDonald MW, Godette KD, Whitaker DJ, Davis LW, Johnstone PA. Three-year outcomes of breast intensitymodulated radiation therapy with simultaneous integrated boost. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;77:523-30.

Majumdar D, Mohammed SS, Naseer MA, et al. Respiratory gated simultaneous integrated boost-intensity modulated radiotherapy (SIB-IMRT) after breast conservative surgery for carcinoma of the breast: The Salmaniya Medical complex experience. Gulf J Oncolog 2011;10:53-9.

Mancosu P, Reggiori G, Alongi F, et al. Total monitor units influence on plan quality parameters in volumetric modulated arc therapy for breast case. Phys Medica 2014;30:296-300.

Mansouri S, Naim A, Glaria L, Marsiglia H. Dosimetric evaluation of 3-D conformal and intensity-modulated radiotherapy for breast cancer after conservative surgery. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:4727-32.

Mast ME, van Kempen-Harteveld L, Heijenbrok MW, et al. Left-sided breast cancer radiotherapy with and without breath-hold: does IMRT reduce the cardiac dose even further? Radiother Oncol 2013;108:248-53.

Mast ME, Vredeveld EJ, Credoe HM, et al. Whole breast proton irradiation for maximal reduction of heart dose in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2014;148:33-9.

Mavroidis P, Ferreira BC, Lopes MDC. Response-probability volume histograms and iso-probability of response charts in treatment plan evaluation. Med Phys 2011;38:2382-97.

Mayadagli A, Gocen E, Aksu A, et al. Evaluation of conventional radiotherapy and conformal radiotherapy technique in terms of dose distribution following breast conserving therapy. Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci 2011;31:1514-20.

Mezenski P, Galecki J, Zawadzka A, Bulski W, Kukolowicz P. Comparison of 3D-CRT and IMRT techniques in the radiotherapy of breast cancer patients after breast sparing surgery with and without the lymph nodes involvment. Nowotwory 2012;62:423-30.

Michalski A, Atyeo J, Cox J, et al. A dosimetric comparison of 3D-CRT, IMRT, and static tomotherapy with an SIB for large and small breast volumes. Med Dosim 2014;39:163-8.

Moignier A, Broggio D, Derreumaux S, et al. Dependence of coronary 3-dimensional dose maps on coronary topologies and beam set in breast radiation therapy: A study based on CT angiographies. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;89:182-90.

Mulliez T, Speleers B, Madani I, De Gersem W, Veldeman L, De Neve W. Whole breast radiotherapy in prone and supine position: is there a place for multi-beam IMRT? Radiat Oncol 2013;8:151.

Mulliez T, Veldeman L, van Greveling A, et al. Hypofractionated whole breast irradiation for patients with large breasts: a randomized trial comparing prone and supine positions. Radiother Oncol 2013;108:203-8.

Mulliez T, Speleers B, Mahjoubi K, Remouchamps V, Gilsoul M, Veldeman L, et al. Prone left-sided whole-breast irradiation: Significant heart dose reduction using end-inspiratory versus end-expiratory gating. Cancer Radiother 2014;18:672-7.

Mulliez T, Veldeman L, Speleers B, Mahjoubi K, Remouchamps V, Van Greveling A, et al. Heart dose reduction by prone deep inspiration breath hold in left-sided breast irradiation. Radiother Oncol 2014;114:79-84.

Mydin AR, Gaffney H, Bergman A, et al. Does a three-field electron/minitangent photon technique offer dosimetric advantages to a multifield, photon-only technique for accelerated partial breast irradiation? Am J Clin Oncol 2010;33:336-40.

Nagar H, Zhou L, Biritz B, Sison C, Chang J, Smith M, et al. Is there a tradeoff in using modified high tangent field radiation for treating an undissected node-positive Axilla? Clin Breast Cancer 2014;14:109-13.

Nakamura N, Takahashi O, Kamo M, et al. Effects of geometrical uncertainties on whole breast radiotherapy: A comparison of four different techniques. J Breast Cancer 2014;17:157-60.

Ng J, Shuryak I, Xu Y, Clifford Chao KS, Brenner DJ, Burri RJ. Predicting the risk of secondary lung malignancies associated with whole-breast radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;83:1101-6.

Nichols GP, Fontenot JD, Gibbons JP, Sanders ME. Evaluation of volumetric modulated arc therapy for postmastectomy treatment. Radiat Oncol 2014;9(1).

Nicolini G, Fogliata A, Clivio A, Vanetti E, Cozzi L. Planning strategies in volumetric modulated are therapy for breast. Med Phys 2011;38:4025-31.

Nissen HD, Appelt AL. Improved heart, lung and target dose with deep inspiration breathhold in a large clinical series of breast cancer patients. Radiother Oncol 2013;106:28-32.

Nitsche M, Temme N, Forster M, Reible M, Hermann RM. Tangential vs. defined radiotherapy in early breast cancer treatment without axillary lymph node dissection. A comparative study. Strahlenther Onkol 2014;190:715-21.

Onal C, Sonmez A, Arslan G, et al. Dosimetric comparison of the field-in-field technique and tangential wedged beams for breast irradiation. Jpn J Radiol 2012;30:218-26.

Opp D, Forster K, Li W, Zhang G, Harris EE. Evaluation of bolus electron conformal therapy compared with conventional techniques for the treatment of left chest wall postmastectomy in patients with breast cancer. Med Dosim 2013;38:448-53.

Osman SOS, Hol S, Poortmans PM, Essers M. Volumetric modulated arc therapy and breath-hold in image-guided locoregional left-sided breast irradiation. Radiother Oncol 2014;112:17-22.

Palta M, Yoo S, Adamson JD, Prosnitz LR, Horton JK. Preoperative single fraction partial breast radiotherapy for early-stage breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:37-42.

Pasler M, Georg D, Bartelt S, Lutterbach J. Node-positive left-sided breast cancer: does VMAT improve treatment plan quality with respect to IMRT? Strahlenther Onkol 2013;189:380-6.

Pasler M, Lutterbach J, Bjornsgard M, Reichmann U, Bartelt S, Georg D. VMAT techniques for lymph node-positive left sided breast cancer. Med Phys 2015;25:104-11.

Peters S, Schiefer H, Plasswilm L. A treatment planning study comparing Elekta VMAT and fixed field IMRT using the varian treatment planning system eclipse. Radiat Oncol 2014;9:1.

Peulen H, Hanbeukers B, Boersma L, et al. Forward Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy Planning in Breast Cancer To Improve Dose Homogeneity: Feasibility of Class Solutions. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;82:394-400.

Pignol JP, Keller BM, Ravi A. Doses to internal organs for various breast radiation techniques--implications on the risk of secondary cancers and cardiomyopathy. Radiat Oncol 2011;6:5.

Pili G, Grimaldi L, Fidanza C, et al. Geometric and Dosimetric Approach to Determine Probability of Late Cardiac Mortality in Left Tangential Breast Irradiation: Comparison Between Wedged Beams and Field-in-Field Technique. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;81:894-900.

Popescu CC, Olivotto IA, Beckham WA, et al. Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy Improves Dosimetry and Reduces Treatment Time Compared To Conventional Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy for Locoregional Radiotherapy of Left-Sided Breast Cancer and Internal Mammary Nodes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:287-95.

Qiu J, Liu Z, Yang B, Hou X, Zhang F. Low-dose-area-constrained helical TomoTherapy-based whole breast radiotherapy and dosimetric comparison with tangential field-in-field IMRT. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:513708.

Qiu JJ, Chang Z, Horton JK, Wu QRJ, Yoo S, Yin FF. Dosimetric comparison of 3D conformal, IMRT, and V-MAT techniques for accelerated partial-breast irradiation (APBI). Med Dosim 2014;39: 152-8.

Qiu JJ, Chang Z, Wu QJ, Yoo S, Horton J, Yin FF. Impact of volumetric modulated arc therapy technique on treatment with partial breast irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;78:288-96.

Qi XS, Liu TX, Liu AK, et al. Left-sided breast cancer irradiation using rotational and fixed-field radiotherapy. Med Dosim 2014;39:227-34.

Rajan S, Sharma S, Kumar N, Kumar R, Singh G, Singh R, et al. Clinical and cosmetic results of breast boost radiotherapy in early breast cancer: A randomized study between electron and photon. J Cancer Res Ther 2014;10:889-95.

Reardon KA, Read PW, Morris MM, Reardon MA, Geesey C, Wijesooriya K. A comparative analysis of 3D conformal deep inspiratory-breath hold and free-breathing intensity-modulated radiation therapy for left-sided breast cancer. Med Dosim 2013;38:190-5.

Register S, Takita C, Reis I, Zhao W, Amestoy W, Wright J. Deep inspiration breath-hold technique for left-sided breast cancer: An analysis of predictors for organ-at-risk sparing. Med Dosim 2015;40:89-95.

Rochet N, Drake JI, Harrington K, et al. Deep inspiration breath-hold technique in left-sided breast cancer radiation therapy: Evaluating cardiac contact distance as a predictor of cardiac exposure for patient selection. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015;5:e127-34.

Rudat V, Alaradi AA, Mohamed A, Ai-Yahya K, Altuwaijri S. Tangential beam IMRT versus tangential beam 3D-CRT of the chest wall in postmastectomy breast cancer patients: A dosimetric comparison. Radiat Oncol 2011;6:26.

Rudra S, Al-Hallaq HA, Feng C, Chmura SJ, Hasan Y. Effect of RTOG breast/chest wall guidelines on dose-volume histogram parameters. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2014;15:127-37.

Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, et al. The role of active breathing control-moderate deep inspiration breath-hold (ABC-mDIBH) usage in non-mastectomized left-sided breast cancer radiotherapy: A dosimetric evaluation. Int J Hem Onc 2012;22:147-55.

Saha A, Mahata A, Shrimali R, Achari R, Mallick I, Chatterjee S. Helical tomotherapy based intensity modulated radiotherapy for the management of difficult clinical situations in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Investig J 2015;4:543-7.

Sakumi A, Shiraishi K, Onoe T, et al. Single-arc volumetric modulated arc therapy planning for left breast cancer and regional nodes. J Radiat Res 2012;53:151-3.

Salem A, Mohamad I, Dayyat A, et al. Combined photon-electron beams in the treatment of the supraclavicular lymph nodes in breast cancer: A novel technique that achieves adequate coverage while reducing lung dose. Med Dosim 2015;40:210-7.

Sasaoka M, Futami T. Dosimetric evaluation of whole breast radiotherapy using field-in-field technique in earlystage breast cancer. Int J Clin Oncol 2011;16:250-6.

Sas-Korczynska. Comparison between intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and 3D tangential beams technique used in patients with early-stage breast cancer who received breast-conserving therapy. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 2010;15:79-86.

Schubert LK, Gondi V, Sengbusch E, et al. Dosimetric comparison of left-sided whole breast irradiation with 3DCRT, forward-planned IMRT, inverse-planned IMRT, helical tomotherapy, and topotherapy. Radiother Oncol 2011;100:241-46.

Scorsetti M, Alongi F, Fogliata A, et al. Phase I-II study of hypofractionated simultaneous integrated boost using volumetric modulated arc therapy for adjuvant radiation therapy in breast cancer patients: a report of feasibility and early toxicity results in the first 50 treatments. Radiat Oncol 2012;7:145.

Semaniak A, Jodkiewicz Z, Skowronska-Gardas A. Segmented photon beams technique for irradiation of postmastectomy patients. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 2012;17:85-92.

Shiau AC, Hsieh CH, Tien HJ, Yeh HP, Lin CT, Shueng PW, et al. Left-sided whole breast irradiation with hybrid-IMRT and helical tomotherapy dosimetric comparison. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014:741326.

Sonmez A, Onal C, Sonmez S, et al. Effects of setup errors on dose distribution for tangential wedge field and field-in-field techniques during breast irradiation. UHOD Uluslar Hematol 2014;24:130-8.

Stillie AL, Kron T, Herschtal A, et al. Does inverse-planned intensity-modulated radiation therapy have a role in the treatment of patients with left-sided breast cancer? J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2011;55:311-9.

Subramaniam S, Thirumalaiswamy S, Srinivas C, et al. Chest wall radiotherapy with volumetric modulated arcs and the potential role of flattening filter free photon beams. Strahlenther Onkol 2012;188:484-90.

Sung K, Lee KC, Ahn SH, Lee SH, Choi J. Cardiac dose reduction with breathing adapted radiotherapy using self respiration monitoring system for left-sided breast cancer. Radiat Oncol J 2014;32:84-94.

Swamy ST, Radha CA, Kathirvel M, Arun G, Subramanian S. Feasibility study of deep inspiration breath-hold based volumetric modulated arc therapy for locally advanced left sided breast cancer patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:9033-8.

Swanson T, Grills IS, Ye H, et al. Six-year Experience Routinely Using Moderate Deep Inspiration Breath-hold for the Reduction of Cardiac Dose in Left-sided Breast Irradiation for Patients With Early-stage or Locally Advanced Breast Cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2013;36:24-30.

Tan W, Liu D, Xue C, et al. Anterior Myocardial Territory May Replace the Heart as Organ at Risk in Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy for Left-Sided Breast Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:1689-97.

Tan W, Wang X, Qiu D, et al. Dosimetric Comparison of Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy Plans, With or Without Anterior Myocardial Territory and Left Ventricle as Organs at Risk, in Early-Stage Left-Sided Breast Cancer Patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011; 81: 1544-51.

Tang JI, Tan PW, Koh VY, Buhari SA. Multi-catheter interstitial accelerated partial breast irradiation - Tips and tricks for a good insertion. J Contemp Brachytherapy 2014;6:85-90.

Tanguturi SK, Lyatskaya Y, Chen Y, et al. Prospective assessment of deep inspiration breath-hold using 3dimensional surface tracking for irradiation of left-sided breast cancer. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015;5:358-65.

Taunk NK, Prosnitz RG. Planning comparison of intensity modulated radiation therapy delivered with 2 tangential fields versus 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for cardiac sparing in women with left-sided breast cancer. Pract Radiat Oncol 2012;2:248-56.

Teh AY, Walsh L, Purdie TG, et al. Concomitant intensity modulated boost during whole breast hypofractionated radiotherapy--a feasibility and toxicity study. Radiother Oncol 2012;102:89-95.

Thorsen LBJ, Thomsen MS, Overgaard M, Overgaard J, Offersen BV. Quality assurance of conventional non-CTbased internal mammary lymph node irradiation in a prospective Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group trial: The DBCG-IMN study. Acta Oncol 2013;52:1526-34.

Thorsen LBJ, Thomsen MS, Berg M, et al. CT-planned internal mammary node radiotherapy in the DBCG-IMN study: Benefit versus potentially harmful effects. Acta Oncol 2014;53:1027-34.

Topolnjak R, Borst GR, Nijkamp J, Sonke JJ. Image-guided Radiotherapy for Left-sided Breast Cancer Patients: Geometrical Uncertainty of the Heart. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:e647-55.

Trifiletti DM, Wijesooriya K, Moyer G, et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy versus three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy during deep inspiratory breath hold for left-sided whole-breast irradiation: a comparative analysis. J Radiother Pract 2016;15:99-106.

Trofimova OP, Tkachev SI, Kostina NP, et al. Radiation pneumonitis in the treatment of early-stage breast cancer. Vopr Onkol 2015;61:116-20.

Tsai PF, Lin SM, Lee SH, et al. The feasibility study of using multiple partial volumetric-modulated arcs therapy in early stage left-sided breast cancer patients. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2012;13:3806.

Tsuchiya K, Kinoshita R, Shimizu S, et al. Dosimetric comparison between intensity-modulated radiotherapy and standard wedged tangential technique for whole-breast radiotherapy in Asian women with relatively small breast volumes. Radiol Phys Technol 2014;7:67-72.

Uhl M, Sterzing F, Habl G, et al. Breast cancer and funnel chest: Comparing helical tomotherapy and threedimensional conformal radiotherapy with regard to the shape of pectus excavatum. Strahlentherap Onkol 2012;188:127-35.

Vaegler S, Bratengeier K, Beckmann G, Flentje M. Conformal breast irradiation with the arm of the affected side parallel to the body. Strahlentherap Onkol 2014;190:100-5.

Valakh V, Kim Y, Werts ED, Trombetta MG. A Comprehensive Analysis of Cardiac Dose in Balloon-Based High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy for Left-Sided Breast Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:1698-705.

van der Laan HP, Dolsma WV, Schilstra C, et al. Limited benefit of inversely optimised intensity modulation in breast conserving radiotherapy with simultaneously integrated boost. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:307-12.

van der Laan HP, Korevaar EW, Dolsma WV, Maduro JH, Langendijk JA. Minimising contralateral breast dose in post-mastectomy intensity-modulated radiotherapy by incorporating conformal electron irradiation. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:235-40.

Van Parijs H, Miedema G, Vinh-Hung V, et al. Short course radiotherapy with simultaneous integrated boost for stage I-II breast cancer, early toxicities of a randomized clinical trial. Radiat Oncol 2012;7:80.

Varga Z, Cserhati A, Rarosi F, et al. Individualized positioning for maximum heart protection during breast irradiation. Acta Oncol 2014;53:58-64.

Verhoeven K, Sweldens C, Petillion S, et al. Breathing adapted radiation therapy in comparison with prone position to reduce the doses to the heart, left anterior descending coronary artery, and contralateral breast in whole breast radiation therapy. Pract Radiat Oncol 2014;4:123-29.

Vikstrom J, Hjelstuen MH, Mjaaland I, Dybvik KI. Cardiac and pulmonary dose reduction for tangentially irradiated breast cancer, utilizing deep inspiration breath-hold with audio-visual guidance, without compromising target coverage. Acta Oncol 2011;50:42-50.

Viren T, Heikkila J, Myllyoja K, Koskela K, Lahtinen T, Seppala J. Tangential volumetric modulated arc therapy technique for left-sided breast cancer radiotherapy. Radiat Oncol 2015;10:1.

Vrana D, Cwiertka K, Lukesova L. Breast cancer adjuvant radiotherapy using active breathing control-moderate inspiration breath-hold - a case report. Klin Onkol 2013;26:143-6.

Wang J, Li X, Deng Q, Xia B, Wu S, Liu J, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy following mastectomy for patients with left-sided breast cancer: A comparative dosimetric study. Med Dosim 2015;40:190-4.

Wang W, Bin Li J, Hu HG, et al. Evaluation of dosimetric variance in whole breast forward-planned intensitymodulated radiotherapy based on 4DCT and 3DCT. J Radiat Res 2013;54:755761. Wang W, Purdie TG, Rahman M, Marshall A, Liu FF, Fyles A. Rapid automated treatment planning process to select breast cancer patients for active breathing control to achieve cardiac dose reduction. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:386-93.

Wang X, Zhang X, Li X, et al. Accelerated partial-breast irradiation using intensity-modulated proton radiotherapy: do uncertainties outweigh potential benefits? Br J Radiol 2013;86:20130176.

Wright P, Suilamo S, Lindholm P, Kulmala J. Isocentric integration of intensity-modulated radiotherapy with electron fields improves field junction dose uniformity in postmastectomy radiotherapy. Acta Oncol 2014;53:1019-26.

Wu S, He Z, Guo J, Li F, Lin Q, Guan X. Dosimetric comparison of normal structures associated with accelerated partial breast irradiation and whole breast irradiation delivered by intensity modulated radiotherapy for early breast cancer after breast conserving surgery. Clin and Transl Oncol 2014;16:69-76.

Wu S, Lai Y, He Z, Zhou Y, Chen S, Dai M, et al. Dosimetric comparison of the simultaneous integrated boost in whole-breast irradiation after breast-conserving surgery: IMRT, IMRT plus an electron boost and VMAT. Plos One 2015;10:3.

Würschmidt F, Stoltenberg S, Kretschmer M, Petersen C. Incidental dose to coronary arteries is higher in prone than in supine whole breast irradiation: A dosimetric comparison in adjuvant radiotherapy of early stage breast cancer. Strahlentherap Onkol 2014;190:563-8.

Xie X, Ouyang S, Wang H, et al. Dosimetric comparison of left-sided whole breast irradiation with 3D-CRT, IP-IMRT and hybrid IMRT. Oncol Rep 2014;31:2195-205.

Xu N, Ho MW, Li Z, Morris CG, Mendenhall NP. Can Proton Therapy Improve the Therapeutic Ratio in Breast Cancer Patients at Risk for Nodal Disease? Am J Clin Onc 2014;37:568-74.

Yang B, Wei XD, Zhao YT, Ma CM. Dosimetric evaluation of integrated IMRT treatment of the chest wall and supraclavicular region for breast cancer after modified radical mastectomy. Med Dosim 2014;39:185-9.

Yang W, McKenzie EM, Burnison M, Shiao S, Mirhadi A, Hakimian B, et al. Clinical experience using a videoguided spirometry system for deep inhalation breath-hold radiotherapy of left-sided breast cancer. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2015;16:251-60.

Yavas. Dosimetric comparison of whole breast radiotherapy using field in field and conformal radiotherapy techniques in early stage breast cancer. Iran J Radiat Res 2012;10:131-8.

Yin Y, Chen J, Sun T, et al. Dosimetric research on intensity-modulated arc radiotherapy planning for left breast cancer after breast-preservation surgery. Med Dosim 2012;37:287-92.

Yim J, Suttie C, Bromley R, Morgia M, Lamoury G. Intensity modulated radiotherapy and 3D conformal radiotherapy for whole breast irradiation: A comparative dosimetric study and introduction of a novel qualitative index for plan evaluation, the normal tissue index. JMRS 2015; 62:184-91.

Zhang L, Yang ZZ, Chen XX, Tuan J, Ma JL, Mei X, et al. Dose coverage of axillary level I-III areas during whole breast irradiation with simplified intensity modulated radiation therapy in early stage breast cancer patients. Oncotarget 2015;6:18183-91.

Zhang Q, Chen JY, Hu WG, Guo XM. Modified partially wide tangents technique in post-mastectomy radiotherapy for patients with left-sided breast cancer. Chin med J (Engl) 2010;123:2825-31.

Zhang Q, Yu XL, Hu WG, et al. Dosimetric comparison for volumetric modulated arc therapy and intensity modulated radiotherapy on the left-sided chest wall and internal mammary nodes irradiation in treating post-mastectomy breast cancer. Radiol Oncol 2015;49:91-8.

Zhou G, Xu S, Dai X, et al. Clinical Dosimetric Study of Three Radiotherapy Techniques for Postoperative Breast Cancer: Helical Tomotherapy, IMRT, and 3D-CRT. Technol Cancer Res Treat 2011;10:15-23.

Page 16 of 16

Figure S16. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group collaborators, listed alphabetically by institution and then name.

AARTM 048/13/2000 Multicentre Study Group, Spain—J A Alberro, B Ballester, P Deulofeu, R Fábregas, M Fraile, J M Gubern, J Janer, A Moral, J L de Pablo, G Peñalva, P Puig, M Ramos, R Rojo, P Santesteban, C Serra, M Solà, L Solarnau, J Solsona, E Veloso, S Vidal.

ACETBC, Tokyo, Japan—O Abe, R Abe, K Enomoto, K Kikuchi, H Koyama, H Masuda, Y Nomura, Y Ohashi, K Sakai, K Sugimachi, M Toi, T Tominaga, J Uchino, M Yoshida.

Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK—J L Haybittle.

Anglo-Celtic Cooperative Oncology Group, UK—C F Leonard.

ARCOSEIN Group, France—G Calais, P Garaud.

ATLAS Trial Collaborative Study Group, Oxford, UK-V Collett, C Davies, A Delmestri, J Sayer.

Auckland Breast Cancer Study Group, New Zealand—V J Harvey, I M Holdaway, R G Kay, B H Mason.

Australian New Zealand Breast Cancer Trials Group, Sydney, Australia—J F Forbes, N Wilcken.

Austrian Breast Cancer Study Group, Vienna, Austria-R Bartsch, P Dubsky, C Fesl, H Fohler, M Gnant, R Greil, R

Jakesz, A Lang, G Luschin-Ebengreuth, C Marth, B Mlineritsch, H Samonigg, C F Singer, G G Steger, H Stöger.

Beatson Oncology Centre, Glasgow, UK—P Canney, H M A Yosef.

Belgian Adjuvant Breast Cancer Project, Liège, Belgium—C Focan.

Berlin-Buch Akademie der Wissenschaften, Germany-U Peek.

Birmingham General Hospital, UK-G D Oates, J Powell.

Bordeaux Institut Bergonié, France-M Durand, L Mauriac.

Bordet Institute, Brussels, Belgium—A Di Leo, S Dolci, D Larsimont, J M Nogaret, C Philippson, M J Piccart.

Bradford Royal Infirmary, UK—M B Masood, D Parker, J J Price.

Breast Cancer International Research Group (BCIRG)—M A Lindsay, J Mackey, M Martin.

Breast Cancer Study Group of the Comprehensive Cancer Centre, Limburg, Netherlands—P S G J Hupperets.

British Association of Surgical Oncology BASO II Trialists, London, UK—T Bates, R W Blamey, U Chetty, I O Ellis, E Mallon, D A L Morgan, J Patnick, S Pinder.

British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, Canada—I Olivotto, J Ragaz.

Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Washington DC, USA—D Berry, G Broadwater, C Cirrincione, H Muss, L Norton, R B Weiss.

Cancer Care Ontario, Canada—H T Abu-Zahra.

Cancer Research Centre of the Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Moscow, Russia—S M Portnoj.

*Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit (CRCTU), NCRI, Birmingham, UK—*S Bowden, C Brookes, J Dunn, I Fernando, M Lee, C Poole, D Rea, D Spooner.

Cardiff Trialists Group, UK—P J Barrett-Lee, R E Mansel, I J Monypenny.

Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA-N H Gordon.

Central Oncology Group, Milwaukee, WI, USA-H L Davis.

Centre for Cancer Prevention, Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary, University of London, UK—J Cuzick, I Sestak.

Centre Léon-Bérard, Lyon, France—Y Lehingue, P Romestaing.

Centre Paul Lamarque, Montpellier, France—J B Dubois.

Centre Regional François Baclesse, Caen, France—T Delozier, B Griffon, J Mace Lesec'h.

Centre René Huguenin, Paris, St Cloud, France—E Brain, B de La Lande, E Mouret-Fourme.

Centro Oncologico, Trieste, Italy—G Mustacchi.

Charles University in Prague, First Faculty of Medicine, Department of Oncology of the First Faculty of Medicine and General Teaching Hospital, Czech Republic—L Petruzelka, O Pribylova.

Cheltenham General Hospital, UK-J R Owen.

Chemo NO Trial Group, Germany—N Harbeck, F Jänicke, C Meisner, M Schmitt, C Thomssen.

Chicago University, IL, USA-P Meier.

*Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, People's Republic of China (in collaboration with the Oxford CTSU)—*Y Shan, Y F Shao, X Wang, D B Zhao (CTSU: Z M Chen, H C Pan).

Christie Hospital and Holt Radium Institute, Manchester, UK—A Howell, R Swindell.

Clinical Trial Service Unit (CTSU), Oxford, UK (ie, members of the CTSU-based EBCTCG Secretariat)—R Bradley, J Braybrooke, J A Burrett, M Clarke, D Cutter, C Davies, D Dodwell, F Duane, V Evans, L Gettins, J Godwin, R Gray, S James, A Kerr, H Liu, E MacKinnon, G Mannu, P McGale, T McHugh, P Morris, H C Pan, R Peto, S Read, C Taylor, Y Wang, Z Wang.

Coimbra Instituto de Oncologia, Portugal—J Albano, C F de Oliveira, H Gervásio, J Gordilho.

Copenhagen Breast Cancer Trials, Copenhagen, Denmark—B Ejlertsen, M-B Jensen, H Johansen, H Mouridsen, T Palshof.

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA—R S Gelman, J R Harris, D Hayes, C Henderson, C L Shapiro, E Winer. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group, Copenhagen, Denmark— P Christiansen, B Ejlertsen, M

Ewertz, M-B Jensen, S Møller, H T Mouridsen.

Düsseldorf University, Germany—H J Trampisch.

Dutch Working Party for Autologous Bone Marrow Transplant in Solid Tumours, Amsterdam & Groningen, Netherlands—O Dalesio, E G E de Vries, S Rodenhuis, H van Tinteren.

Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, Boston, MA, USA—R L Comis, N E Davidson, R Gray, N Robert, G Sledge, L J Solin, J A Sparano, D C Tormey, W Wood.

Edinburgh Breast Unit, UK—D Cameron, U Chetty, J M Dixon, P Forrest, W Jack, I Kunkler.

Elim Hospital, Hamburg, Germany—J Rossbach.

Erasmus MC/Daniel den Hoed Cancer Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands— J G M Klijn, A D Treurniet-Donker, W L J van Putten.

European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy—N Rotmensz, U Veronesi, G Viale.

European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, Brussels, Belgium—H Bartelink, N Bijker, J Bogaerts, F Cardoso, T Cufer, J P Julien, E Rutgers, C J H van de Velde.

Evanston Hospital, IL, USA-M P Cunningham.

Finnish Breast Cancer Group, Finland—R Huovinen, H Joensuu.

Fondazione Maugeri Pavia, Italy—A Costa.

Fondazione Michelangelo, Milan, Italy—G Bonadonna, L Gianni, P Valagussa.

Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA-LJ Goldstein.

French Adjuvant Study Group (GFEA), Guyancourt, France—J Bonneterre, P Fargeot, P Fumoleau, P Kerbrat, E Luporsi, M Namer.

German Adjuvant Breast Group (GABG), Frankfurt, Germany—W Eiermann, J Hilfrich, W Jonat, M Kaufmann, R Kreienberg, M Schumacher.

German Breast Cancer Study Group (BMFT), Freiburg, Germany—G Bastert, H Rauschecker, R Sauer, W Sauerbrei, A Schauer, M Schumacher.

German Breast Group (GBG), Neu-Isenburg, Germany—J U Blohmer, S D Costa, H Eidtmann, B Gerber, C Jackisch, S Loibl, G von Minckwitz.

Ghent University Hospital, Belgium—A de Schryver, L Vakaet.

GIVIO Interdisciplinary Group for Cancer Care Evaluation, Chieti, Italy—M Belfiglio, A Nicolucci, F Pellegrini, M C Pirozzoli, M Sacco, M Valentini.

Glasgow Victoria Infirmary, UK—C S McArdle, D C Smith, S Stallard.

Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa—D M Dent, C A Gudgeon, A Hacking, E Murray, E Panieri, ID Werner.

Grupo Español de Investigación en Cáncer de Mama (GEICAM), Spain—E Carrasco, M Martin, M A Segui.

Gruppo Oncologico Clinico Cooperativo del Nord Est, Aviano, Italy-E Galligioni.

Grupo Oncológico Cooperativo del Sur (GOCS), Argentina—B Leone, C T Vallejo, A Zwenger.

Gruppo Oncologico Dell'Italia Meridionale (GOIM), Rome, Italy—M Lopez.

Guadalajara Hospital de 20 Noviembre, Mexico—A Erazo, J Y Medina.

Gunma University, Japan—J Horiguchi, H Takei.

Guy's Hospital, London, UK—I S Fentiman, J L Hayward, R D Rubens, D Skilton.

Heidelberg University I, Germany—H Scheurlen.

Heidelberg University II, Germany—M Kaufmann, H C Sohn.

Helios Klinikum Berlin-Buch, Germany—M Untch.

Hellenic Breast Surgeons Society, Greece–U Dafni, C Markopoulos.

Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group, Athens, Greece—U Dafni, G Fountzilas.

Hellenic Oncology Research Group, Greece—D Mavroudis.

Helsinki Deaconess Medical Centre, Finland—P Klefstrom.

Helsinki University, Finland—C Blomqvist, T Saarto.

Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain-M Gallen.

Humanitas Cancer Center, Milan, Italy—C Tinterri.

Innsbruck University, Austria-R Margreiter.

Institut Claudius Regaud, Toulouse, France—B de Lafontan, J Mihura, H Roché.

Institut Curie, Paris, France-B Asselain, R J Salmon, J R Vilcoq.

Institut Gustave-Roussy, Paris, France—F André, R Arriagada, S Delaloge, C Hill, S Koscielny, S Michiels, C Rubino. Institute of Cancer Research Clinical Trials and Statistics Unit (ICR-CTSU, NCRI), UK—R A'Hern, J Bliss, P Ellis, L Kilburn, J R Yarnold.

Integraal Kankercentrum, Amsterdam, Netherlands—J Benraadt, M Kooi, A O van de Velde, J A van Dongen, J B Vermorken.

International Breast Cancer Study Group (IBCSG), Bern, Switzerland—M Castiglione, A Coates, M Colleoni, J Collins, J Forbes, R D Gelber, A Goldhirsch, J Lindtner, K N Price, M M Regan, C M Rudenstam, H J Senn, B Thuerlimann. International Collaborative Cancer Group, Charing Cross Hospital, London, UK—J M Bliss, C E D Chilvers, R C Coombes, E Hall, M Marty.

International Drug Development Institute, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium-M Buyse.

International TABLE Study Group, Berlin, Germany—K Possinger, P Schmid, M Untch, D Wallwiener.

IRCCS AOU San Martino – IST Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genova, Italy–G Canavese, B Dozin.

ISD Cancer Clinical Trials Team (incorporating the former Scottish Cancer Therapy Network), Edinburgh, UK—L Foster, W D George, H J Stewart, P Stroner.

Israel NSABC, Tel Aviv, Israel—R Borovik, H Hayat, M J Inbar, T Peretz, E Robinson.

Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genova, Italy—P Bruzzi, L Del Mastro, P Pronzato, M R Sertoli, M Venturini.

Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori, Milan, Italy—T Camerini, F Formelli, G Martelli, M G Di Mauro, P Valagussa.

Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione Pascale, Napoli, Italy-F Perrone.

Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori, Meldola, Italy-D Amadori.

Italian Cooperative Chemo-Radio-Surgical Group, Bologna, Italy—A Martoni, F Pannuti.

Italian Oncology Group for Clinical Research (GOIRC), Parma, Italy— R Camisa, A Musolino, R Passalacqua.

Japan Clinical Oncology Group–Breast Cancer Study Group, Matsuyama, Japan–K Aogi, S Takashima.

*Japanese Foundation for Multidisciplinary Treatment of Cancer, Tokyo, Japan—*O Abe, T Ikeda, K Inokuchi, K Kikuchi, K Sawa.

Kawasaki Medical School, Japan—H Sonoo.

Klinikum Bayreuth, Germany—M Sadoon, A H Tulusan.

Kobe Breast Cancer Oncology Group, Japan—N Kohno, M Miyashita, S Takao.

Korean Cancer Study Group (KCSG), Seoul, South Korea—J.-H Ahn, K H Jung.

Krakow Institute of Oncology, Poland—S Korzeniowski, J Skolyszewski.

Kumamoto University Group, Japan-M Ogawa, J Yamashita.

Leiden University Medical Center, Netherlands—E Bastiaannet, G J Liefers, C J H van de Velde.

Leuven Akademisch Ziekenhuis, Gasthuisberg, Belgium-R Christiaens, P Neven, R Paridaens, W Van den Bogaert.

Ludwig-Maximilians University, Munich, Germany—S Braun.

Marseille Laboratoire de Cancérologie Biologique APM, France—P Martin, S Romain.

Medical University Vienna – General Hospital - Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Department of Medicine I, Vienna, Austria—M Janauer, M Seifert, P Sevelda, C C Zielinski.

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA—T Hakes, C A Hudis, L Norton, R Wittes.

Metaxas Memorial Cancer Hospital, Athens, Greece-G Giokas, D Kondylis, B Lissaios.

Mexican National Medical Center, Mexico City, Mexico—R de la Huerta, M G Sainz.

National Cancer Center, Goyang, South Korea—J Ro.

National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA—R Altemus, K Camphausen, K Cowan, D Danforth, A Lichter, M Lippman, J O'Shaughnessy, L J Pierce, S Steinberg, D Venzon, J A Zujewski.

National Cancer Institute of Bari, Italy—C D'Amico, M Lioce, A Paradiso.

NCIC Clinical Trials Group, Kingston, Ontario, Canada—J-A W Chapman, B E Chen, K Gelmon, P E Goss, M N Levine, R Meyer, W Parulekar, J L Pater, K I Pritchard, L E Shepherd, D Tu, T Whelan.

National Kyushu Cancer Center, Japan—Y Nomura, S Ohno.

National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP), Pittsburgh, PA, USA—S Anderson, G Bass, A Brown (deceased), J Bryant (deceased), J Costantino, J Dignam, B Fisher, C Geyer, E P Mamounas, S Paik, C Redmond, S Swain, L Wickerham, N Wolmark.

National Surgical Adjuvant Study Group (N-SAS-BC), Japan—T Aihara, Y Hozumi, Y Nomura.

Nolvadex Adjuvant Trial Organisation, London, UK—M Baum, I M Jackson (deceased), M K Palmer.

North Central Cancer Treatment Group, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA-E Perez, J N Ingle, V J Suman.

North Sweden Breast Cancer Group, Umeå, Sweden—N O Bengtsson, S Emdin, H Jonsson.

North-West Oncology Group (GONO), Italy—L Del Mastro, M Venturini.

North-Western British Surgeons, Manchester, UK—J P Lythgoe, R Swindell.

Northwick Park Hospital, London, UK—M Kissin.

Norwegian Breast Cancer Group, Oslo, Norway—B Erikstein, E Hannisdal, A B Jacobsen, K V Reinertsen, J E Varhaug. Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo, Norway—B Erikstein, S Gundersen, M Hauer-Jensen, H Høst, A B Jacobsen, B Niscon, Mover

Jacobsen, R Nissen-Meyer.

Nottingham City Hospital, UK—R W Blamey, A K Mitchell, D A L Morgan, J F R Robertson.

Oita Prefectural Hospital, Japan-H Ueo.

Oncofrance, Paris, France-M Di Palma, G Mathé (deceased), J L Misset.

Ontario Clinical Oncology Group, Hamilton, Canada—M Levine, K I Pritchard, T Whelan.

Osaka City University, Japan—K Morimoto.

Osaka National Hospital, Japan-K Sawa, Y Takatsuka.

Oxford Radcliffe Hospitals NHS Trust, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK—E Crossley, A Harris, D Talbot, M Taylor.

Parma Hospital, Italy—G Cocconi, B di Blasio.

Petrov Research Institute of Oncology, St Petersburg, Russia—V Ivanov, R Paltuev, V Semiglazov.

Piedmont Oncology Association, Winston-Salem, NC, USA—J Brockschmidt, M R Cooper.

Pretoria University, South Africa—C I Falkson.

ProBONE study group, Marburg, Germany—P Hadji.

Royal Marsden NHS Trust, London and Sutton, UK—R A'Hern, M Dowsett, A Makris, M Parton, K Pennert, T J Powles, I E Smith, J R Yarnold.

St George's Hospital, London, UK—J C Gazet.

St George Hospital, Sydney, Australia—L Browne, P Graham.

St Luke's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland—N Corcoran.

SABRE trial group (international)—G Clack, C Van Poznak.

Sardinia Oncology Hospital A Businico, Cagliari, Sardinia—N Deshpande, L di Martino.

SASIB International Trialists, Cape Town, South Africa—P Douglas, A Hacking, H Høst, A Lindtner, G Notter.

Saskatchewan Cancer Foundation, Regina, Canada—AJS Bryant, GH Ewing, LA Firth, JL Krushen-Kosloski.

Scandinavian Adjuvant Chemotherapy Study Group, Oslo, Norway-R Nissen-Meyer.

South Sweden Breast Cancer Group, Lund, Sweden—H Anderson, F Killander, P Malmström, L Rydén.

South-East Sweden Breast Cancer Group, Linköping, Sweden—L-G Arnesson, J Carstensen, M Dufmats, H Fohlin, B Nordenskjöld, M Söderberg.

South-Eastern Cancer Study Group and Alabama Breast Cancer Project, Birmingham, AL, USA—J T Carpenter.

Southampton Oncology Centre, UK-N Murray, G T Royle, P D Simmonds.

Southwest Oncology Group, San Antonio, TX, USA—K Albain, W Barlow, J Crowley, D Hayes, J Gralow, G Hortobagyi, R Livingston, S Martino, C K Osborne, P M Ravdin.

Stockholm Breast Cancer Study Group, Sweden—J Adolfsson, J Bergh, T Bondesson, F Celebioglu, K Dahlberg, T Fornander, I Fredriksson, J Frisell, E Göransson, M Iiristo, U Johansson, E Lenner, L Löfgren, P Nikolaidis, L Perbeck, S Rotstein, K Sandelin, L Skoog, G Svane, E af Trampe, C Wadström.

SUCCESS-Study Group, University of Düsseldorf, Germany—W Janni.

Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK), Bern, and OSAKO, St Gallen, Switzerland—M Castiglione, A Goldhirsch, R Maibach, H J Senn, B Thürlimann.

Tamoxifen Exemestrane Adjuvant Multinational (TEAM) trial— E Bastiaannet, P Hadji, Y Hozumi, D Rea, C J H van de Velde.

Tampere University Hospital, Finland— M Hakama, K Holli, J Isola, K Rouhento, R Saaristo.

Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Israel—T Safra.

Tel Aviv University, Israel—H Brenner, A Hercbergs.

Tokyo Cancer Institute Hospital, Japan—M Yoshimoto.

Toronto-Edmonton Breast Cancer Study Group, Canada—A H G Paterson, K I Pritchard.

Toronto Princess Margaret Hospital, Canada—A Fyles, J W Meakin, T Panzarella, K I Pritchard.

Tunis Institut Salah Azaiz, Tunisia—J Bahi.

UK Multicentre Cancer Chemotherapy Study Group, London, UK—M Reid, M Spittle.

UK/ANZ DCIS Trial—H Bishop, N J Bundred, J Cuzick, I O Ellis, I S Fentiman, J F Forbes, S Forsyth, W D George, S E Pinder, I Sestak.

UK/Asia Collaborative Breast Cancer Group, London, UK—G P Deutsch, R Gray, D L W Kwong, V R Pai, R Peto, F Senanayake.

Unicancer Breast Group— A L Martin, H Roché.

University and Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genoa, Italy on behalf of GROCTA trialists—F Boccardo, A Rubagotti.

University College London, UK—M Baum, S Forsyth, A Hackshaw, J Houghton, J Ledermann, K Monson, JS Tobias. *University Federico II, Naples, Italy*—C Carlomagno, M De Laurentiis, S De Placido.

University of Edinburgh, UK—L Williams.

University of Leeds, UK-R Bell, D Cameron, R E Coleman, D Dodwell, S Hinsley, H C Marshall.

University of Michigan, USA—D Hayes, L J Pierce.

University of Saarland, Germany—E Solomayer, T Fehm.

University of Sheffield, UK—R E Coleman, J M Horsman, J Lester, M C Winter.

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA—A U Buzdar, L Hsu.

University of Wisconsin, USA-R R Love.

Uppsala-Örebro Breast Cancer Study Group, Sweden—J Ahlgren, H Garmo, L Holmberg, G Liljegren, H Lindman, F Wärnberg.

U.S. Oncology, Houston, USA-L Asmar, S E Jones.

Washington University, St Louis, Missouri, USA-R Aft.

West German Study Group (WSG), Germany-O Gluz, N Harbeck, C Liedtke, U Nitz.

West of Scotland Breast Trial Group, Glasgow, UK-A Litton.

West Sweden Breast Cancer Study Group, Gothenburg, Sweden—A Wallgren, P Karlsson, B K Linderholm.

Western Cancer Study Group, Torrance, CA, USA—R T Chlebowski.

Würzburg University, Germany—H Caffier.

Z-FAST, ZO-FAST & E-ZO-FAST study groups (international)—A M Brufsky, R E Coleman, H A Llombart, on behalf of Novartis Pharmaceuticals.

Page 5 of 5

20 October 2016

MAIN TEXT FIGURES AND TABLES to

Estimating the risks of breast cancer radiotherapy: Evidence from modern radiation doses to the lungs and heart and from previous randomised trials

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2016.72.0722 Journal of Clinical Oncology - published online before print March 20, 2017

Trial characteristics				Woman-years (thousands) without recurrence, by years since entry			Deaths	
Surgery	No. of trials	Number	Women Median (IQR) randomisaton year	<10	10-19	20+	Without recurrence	Any cause
Mastectomy	36	16,156	1975 (1972-1983)	96	42	13	2921	11,201
BCS	18	11,655	1992 (1987-1997)	77	18	1	1270	3260
Various ⁺	17	9066	1976 (1972-1983)	59	29	10	1666	5512
BCS for DCIS	4	3904	1992 (1990-1995)	25	5	0	207	372
All trials	75	40,781	1983 (1974-1989)	257	94	24	6064	20,345

Table 1: Data availability from trials of radiotherapy vs not that began by the year 2000*

BCS = breast conserving surgery, DCIS = ductal carcinoma-in-situ.

* Individual trial details are in Table S3. For balance, unirradiated controls in six 3-arm trials are counted twice, and four of these trials contribute to two categories of surgery. Datasets were not available from 11 trials which included about 2000 women.

⁺ In some of these trials the control group had more surgery than the radiotherapy group.

		of first even otal woman-			
	RT	No RT	Adjusted	Rate ratio	
	(194957)	(180250)	excess* (95% Cl)	(95% CI)	P Value
Second cancer incidence of specified site					
without prior breast cancer recurrence Contralateral breast	881	673	130 (56-204)	1.20 (1.08—1.33)	0.0006
Leukaemia	43	23	130 (36-204) 17 (2-33)	1.20(1.08-1.33) 1.71(1.05-2.79)	0.0008
	43 71		5 (-17-27)	1.08 (0.76 - 1.53)	0.66
Lung, years 0-9 Lung, years 10+	71 94	60 40	47 (25-69)	2.10(1.48 - 2.98)	<0.0001
Pleura	3	40 0	2 (-1-5)	2.10 (1.48–2.98)	<0.0001 0.18
Oesophagus	23	0 10	13 (3-24)	- 2.42 (1.19—4.92)	0.18
Pancreas	42	25	14 (0-29)	1.64 (0.98-2.76)	0.01
Stomach	55	63	-12 (-32-8)	0.80(0.55-1.17)	0.00
Large intestine	164	136	19 (-14-51)	1.15 (0.91-1.45)	0.25
Ovary	68	68	-1 (-22-21)	0.99 (0.70-1.41)	0.20
Endometrium	109	83	20 (-6-47)	1.26 (0.94-1.69)	0.55
Cervix	31	27	2 (-13-16)	1.06 (0.62-1.83)	0.12
Melanoma	32	25	7 (-8-21)	1.28 (0.75-2.19)	0.36
Soft tissue	23	17	6 (-6-17)	1.36 (0.71-2.59)	0.35
Lymphoma	45	41	4 (-14-21)	1.09 (0.71-1.70)	0.69
Other specified site	171	143	5 (-7-58)	1.20 (0.95-1.51)	0.13
All sites except breast	974	761	168 (90-246)	1.23 (1.12–1.36)	<0.0001
Death without breast cancer recurrence					
Ischaemic heart disease	424	327	90 (39-140)	1.31 (1.13—1.53)	0.0005
Heart failure	63	33	28 (10-46)	1.94 (1.27—2.98)	0.002
Heart valve disease	31	15	14 (1-26)	1.97 (1.07—3.67)	0.03
Other heart disease	187	173	11 (-14-36)	1.08 (0.86—1.35)	0.52
Subtotal: All cardiac	705	548	143 (78-208)	1.30 (1.15—1.46)	<0.0001
Cancer of specified site	475	375	67 (12-121)	1.19 (1.03—1.37)	0.02
Other specified cause	638	629	6 (-78-91)	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	0.83
Subtotal: Specified cause	1818	1552	216 (111-322)	1.16 (1.08—1.25)	<0.0001
Unspecified cause	1413	1281	153 (58-247)	1.14 (1.05—1.24)	0.002
All causes of death except breast cancer	3231	2833	369 (228-510)	1.15 (1.09-1.22)	<0.0001

Table 2: Effect of allocation to radiotherapy (RT) on incidence of second cancers and on mortality from causes other than breast cancer

* The adjusted excess number of events (or deaths) in the RT group is calculated as twice the logrank Observed minus Expected (see Methods S1 for details) and allows for RT delaying recurrence.

Cancer incidence: Excludes non-melanoma skin cancer. Other specified sites include uterus, part unspecified.

Figure 1: Effect of allocation to radiotherapy (RT) on contralateral breast cancer and on lung cancer incidence (years 10+)

Contralateral breast cancer incidence (65 trials)

Lung cancer incidence during years 10+ (30 trials)

Category	Events/Woman-years Allocated Allocated RT No RT	Ratio of annual event rates RT : No RT	Rate Ratio (95% Cl)	Category	Events/Woman-year Allocated Allocated RT No RT		Rate Ratio (95% Cl)
(a) Age at entry (years)	$(\chi_1^2 = 0.3; p = 0.6)$			(a) Age at entry (years	s) $(\chi_1^2 = 0.0; p = 0.9)$		
<50	305/63066 240/56739		1.12 (0.94-1.34)	<50	37/26633 18/24760		- 2.11 (1.22-3.63)
50-59	313/56356 221/52650		1.27 (1.07-1.52)	50-59	35/18999 14/18008	_	1.96 (1.10-3.51)
60+	263/59342 212/56682		1.20 (1.00-1.45)	60+	22/14041 8/13529		-> 2.33 (1.12-4.86)
(b) Nodal status ($\chi_1^2 =$	0.0; p=0.8)			(b) Nodal status (χ^2_1	= 0.0; p = 1.0)		
Negative	524/106258412/102617	_ 	1.19 (1.04-1.35)	Negative	54/36548 26/37776		2.11 (1.36-3.30)
Positive (or not known)	357/72506 261/63455		1.22 (1.03-1.44)	Positive (or not known)	40/23126 14/18522		- 2.08 (1.18-3.67)
(c) Radiotherapy modal	lity $(\chi_3^2 = 8.7; p = 0.03)$			(c) Radiotherapy mod	ality ($\chi_3^2 = 0.5$; p = 0.	9)	
Cobalt-60	376/71758 325/67416	_ += <u>+</u>	1.07 (0.92-1.26)	Cobalt-60	33/22956 15/22187		- 2.05 (1.13-3.74)
Megavoltage X-ravs	238/52187 186/46581		1.10 (0.90-1.33)	Megavoltage X-rays	15/10441 7/8690		- 1.59 (0.67-3.75)
Electrons	84/19257 52/16433	<u> </u>	1.44 (1.02-2.04)	Electrons	16/7005 5/5770		-> 2.37 (0.99-5.64)
Orthovoltage X-ravs	177/32382 106/31113	l i	1.57 (1.24-1.99)	Orthovoltage X-rays	29/18067 13/17698	_	
Other/unknown	6/3179 4/4529		2.60 (0.71-9.48)	Other/unknown	1/1204 0/1953		20.09 (0.25-1607.7
(d) Radiotherapy techn	ique ($\chi_2^2 = 2.1$; p = 0.3)			(d) Radiotherapy tech	nique (χ_2^2 = 1.3; p = 0).5)	
Direct IMC field	231/46626 163/43178		1.36 (1.11-1.67)	Direct IMC field	39/17009 15/15836		-> 2.42 (1.40-4.18)
Tangent	394/78769 302/71682		1.14 (0.98-1.33)	Tangent	20/16096 11/14524		1.45 (0.70-3.02)
Wide tangent	250/50190 204/46683		1.14 (0.94-1.39)	Wide tangent	34/25365 14/23984	<u>_</u>	— 2.21 (1.23-3.98)
Other/unknown	6/3179 4/4529		2.60 (0.71-9.48)	Other/unknown	1/1204 0/1953		20.09 (0.25-1607.7
(e) Year trial began (χ^2	² = 0.3; p = 0.6)			(e) Year trial began (χ ₁ ² = 1.8; p = 0.2)		
Before 1970	68/19252 66/21817		1.17 (0.83-1.66)	Before 1970	27/9388 10/11262		-> 2.75 (1.43-5.30)
1970s	296/48828 211/45012		1.29 (1.07-1.56)	1970s	27/23920 11/22268		-> 2.42 (1.24-4.72)
1980s	330/58275 257/52277		1.16 (0.98-1.37)	1980s	30/21114 14/18527		1.70 (0.93-3.12)
1990-2000	187/52409 139/46966		1.16 (0.93-1.45)	1990-2000	10/5252 5/4240		1.37 (0.48-3.90)
f) Period of follow-up	$(\chi_3^2 = 21.4; p < 0.0001)$			(f) Period of follow−u	ο (χ ₁ ² = 0.4; p = 0.5)		
			4 04 (0 00 4 00)	Years 0-4	32/70416 31/66687	—— — —————————————————————————————————	0.97 (0.59-1.60)
rears 0-4	325/74270 301/70318		1.04 (0.88-1.22)	Years 5-9	39/43507 29/39266		1.19 (0.73-1.93)
Years 5-9	295/47299 150/42662		1.72 (1.42-2.09)	Years 10-14	36/27842 16/25144		1.84 (1.05-3.22)
Years 10-14	139/29458 103/26387		1.18 (0.91-1.54)	Years 15+	58/31832 24/31153		- 2.29 (1.46-3.58)
Years 15+	122/27738 119/26704		0.89 (0.67-1.17)		00,01002 2,001100		2.20 (1.10 0.00)
	881/ 673/			Total (users 40) and	94/ 40/		40 (4 40 0 00)
Total	178764 166071		.33)	Total (years 10+ on			10 (1.48-2.98)
	(0.5%/y) (0.4%/y)	p = 0.0006			(0.2%/y) (0.1%/y)		
	0.5	1.0	 2.5		0.5	1.0	4.0
		better	2.0		R	better - RT worse	

Areas of squares are proportional to amounts of information, open boxes do not contribute to test for trend. IMC=internal mammary chain

Figure 2: Heart disease mortality rate ratio (RR) by trial-specific mean radiation dose to the heart

The line was estimated using doses for individual women. Squares (with areas proportional to information content) show dose categories <4, 4-8, and 8+ Gy, with mean doses 2.1, 5.8, and 12.6 Gy.



Figure 3: Estimated effects among 50-year-old smokers and non-smokers of typical 2010s radiotherapy regimens on mortality from (a) lung cancer and (b) ischaemic heart disease (IHD)

Epidemiological estimates of the risks without radiotherapy are multiplied by the rate ratios attributed to 5 Gy whole-lung dose and 4 Gy whole-heart dose (see Methods S1 for methodology).

